
State of Washington

Voters' Pamphlet

November 4, 2014 General Election





Message from Secretary of State Kim Wyman

Welcome to your 2014 General Election Voters' Pamphlet.

This fall marks two special anniversaries for Washington: On November 11, we celebrate our 125th anniversary of becoming the 42nd state. A festive event is planned that day in the Capitol Rotunda in Olympia. Join us at this great occasion!

We're also celebrating the centennial of our statewide Voters' Pamphlet and the first initiative to appear before Washington voters. For 100 years, citizens have cherished the initiative and referendum process because it gives us a chance to directly enact state laws or block laws recently enacted by the Legislature. Over the past century, the Voters' Pamphlet has provided voters with valuable information about these ballot measures.

While this November is about celebrating Washington's past, it's also about shaping our future. You can make a difference by voting in the election. This election features all 10 of Washington's congressional seats, as well as all 98 state House seats and 25 of the 49 Senate seats in the Legislature. Voters also will decide three initiatives. Two deal with gun sales and ownership, and the other with class sizes in schools. Voters will also consider two non-binding tax Advisory Votes.

I encourage you to take a moment to read through this Voters' Pamphlet, then fill out your ballot and return it by November 4. Your vote will help choose the leaders in your community, in Olympia, and "the other Washington." Make your voice heard by voting this fall.

TRE STATE OF THE S

Kim Wyman Secretary of State

About the cover

The 100th anniversary edition of the Voters' Pamphlet highlights Washington's tradition of populism and a well-informed electorate. Voters in 1912 approved a constitutional amendment establishing initiatives and referenda, as well as a pamphlet with arguments for and against proposed laws (candidates were added in 1966). The first initiative, sponsored by the Anti-Saloon League in 1914, banned the sale of alcohol. Archived voters' pamphlets since 1914 can be read online at www.vote.wa.gov/VotersPamphlets.

November 4, 2014 General Election

Table of contents

Happy Birthday, Washington	า.			. 4
Voting in Washington State				. 6
Accessible Pamphlets				. 7
Measures				. 8
Initiative Measure 1351 .				
Initiative Measure 591				
Initiative Measure 594				20
Advisory Votes				26
Candidates				
U.S. Congressional Offices				33
State Legislative Offices .				40
State Judicial Offices				58
More information				
Complete Text of Measures				69
Contact Your County				



Who donates to campaigns?

View financial contributors for candidates and measures:

Public Disclosure Commission

www.pdc.wa.gov Toll Free (877) 601-2828

HAPPY BIRTHDAY, WASHINGTON!

YOU'RE INVITED!

November 11 (Veterans Day) Noon - 5 p.m. Free admission & parking

Celebrate 125 years of Washington statehood at the Capitol Rotunda in Olympia! Enjoy cultural and heritage displays, including:

- A re-enactment of the arrival of the telegram.
- Tribal and square dancing.
- A rare George Washington portrait by Gilbert Stuart.
- · Hands-on children's activities.
- Birthday cake, and more!

www.WA125.org

In 1853, a new territory was proposed for northern Oregon. Residents favored the name "Columbia" but Congress chose "Washington" in honor of our first president. Upon statehood in 1889, a state seal featuring President Washington replaced the territorial "Alki" seal.

On November 11, 1889, President Benjamin Harrison signed the proclamation admitting Washington as the 42nd state in the Union. This telegram (right) notified Governor Ferry.

With statehood, Washington residents could vote for President and had full congressional representation.

Voters ratified the Washington State Constitution in October 1889; voters have since approved more than 100 amendments, including giving citizens the power to propose initiatives and referenda in 1912.

WE ARE A STATE

The Proclamation Issued Monday,

AT 5:27 WASHINGTON TIME

How the Glad News Was Received at Olympia.

WILDLY CHEERED IN BOTH HOUSES.

Full Text of the Document That Proclaimed the Fact to the World.

OLYMPIA, Wash., Nov. 11.—After thirty-six long years Washington to-day test off the bonds of territorial servitude and took its place among the sisterhood

said gove to vote o entitled tion wer separate majority also the tion of govern place i Whe of said quired me. Now preside ica. ions an clare a ditions of Wa admis fied ar sion o compl

In t

Unite

Novem and eig

Form, Sr. 1.

THE TRANSMITS and DELAYERS immed and brown and the method galacted only by recolumn a tomorphic format for the property of the p

This Company TRA Errors can be guarde to transmission or delivery	NSMITS and DELIVERS in distribution; by repeating a in of Unreposated Messages, b (ATED MESSAGE, and is RT, General Manager.	resumple only on conditions it inseming back to the scotling of beyond the amount of soils pe	TELEGRA intring by liability, which have station for comparison, and the ald thereon, see in any case wh scooler, under the conditions a	been usersied to be the sen exempany will not held to tree the chain is addressed.	or the fillowing message.
Um		TD ST 29	Collect-	61°)/	Q Kallyny
Book ved at	lym pi Er	xecutive ?	nansion 7	nov	11 188 9
To you	Elisha C.	Terry resident-	Rianes)	the procla	mation
declaring	washingt	on to be	a state	in the	muon
afterno	Octock.	and Ame	My Seven	munute	this




After 100 years, the Secretary of State

still provides a pamphlet so that each

voter may cast a well-informed ballot.

Prohibition was controversial in Washington's territorial days and the early years of statehood. Women, seen as sympathetic to the cause, finally achieved suffrage in 1910. Tired of waiting for the Legislature to take action, voters adopted initiatives and referenda in 1912.

Washington's first initiative in 1914 banned alcohol sales; a pamphlet (below) provided arguments for and against the measure.



Good-by Boys
WE'RE THROUGH
THE PEOPLE AND THE COURTS
HAVE HANDED US OUR TICKET
"WE'RE ON OUR WAY"
If YOU WANT LIQUORS GET THEM NOW

IMPORTERS & DISTILLERS AGENTS

Images from Washington State Archives and PEMCO Webster & Stevens Collection, Museum of History & Industry, Seattle



TIME CAPSULE UPDATE

Kids can write a "message to the future" at the statehood celebration in Olympia on November 11!

This is the first update to the 1989 Centennial Time Capsule. Updates will occur every 25 years until our state's 500th anniversary in 2389.

The original Capsule Keepers (left), sworn in as 10-year-olds in 1989, will inaugurate a new generation of kids who will pledge to preserve the time capsule and pass on the chain of stewardship.

www.CapsuleKeepers.org

VOTING IN WASHINGTON STATE

Qualifications

You must be at least 18 years old, a U.S. citizen, a resident of Washington State, and not under Department of Corrections supervision for a Washington State felony conviction.

Register to vote & update your address

The voter registration and address update deadline has passed. Submit your registration or address update to **www.myvote.wa.gov** so you can vote in 2015.

New voters may register in person until October 27 at your county elections department.

Military voters are exempt from new voter registration deadlines.

CAST YOUR BALLOT

- Your ballot will be mailed to the address you provide in your voter registration.
- Vote your ballot and sign your return envelope...
- ... then return it by mail or to an official ballot drop box by 8 p.m. on November 4.







Ballots arrive by October 21

If your ballot is lost or damaged, contact your county elections department listed at the end of this pamphlet.

VIEW ELECTION RESULTS

WWW.VOTE.WA.GOV

or get the mobile app
WA State Election Results





Audio and plain text voters' pamphlets available at www.vote.wa.gov/accessible.

Subscribe to receive a copy on CD or USB drive at (800) 448-4881.

THE BALLOT MEASURE PROCESS

The Initiative

Any voter may propose an initiative to create a new state law or change an existing law.

Initiatives to the People

are proposed laws submitted directly to voters.

Initiatives to the Legislature

are proposed laws submitted to the Legislature.

The Referendum

Any voter may demand that a law proposed by the Legislature be referred to voters before taking effect.

Referendum Bills

are proposed laws the Legislature has referred to voters.

Referendum Measures

are laws recently passed by the Legislature that voters have demanded be referred to the ballot.

LAWS BY THE PEOPLE

Before an **Initiative to the People** or an **Initiative to the Legislature** can appear on the ballot, the sponsor must collect...

Before a **Referendum Measure** can appear on the ballot, the sponsor must collect...



123,186 voters'

4% of all votes in the last Governor's race



246,372 **VOTERS**'

8% of all votes in the last Governor's race

SIGNATURES

Initiatives & referenda
BECOME LAW

with a simple

MAJORITY VOTE

Initiative Measure No.

1351

concerns K-12 education.

This measure would direct the legislature to allocate funds to reduce class sizes and increase staffing support for students in all K-12 grades, with additional class-size reductions and staffing increases in high-poverty schools.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

[] Yes [] No

The Secretary of State is not responsible for the content of statements

Explanatory Statement

or arguments (WAC 434-381-180).

Written by the Office of the Attorney General

The Law as it Presently Exists

Current school funding law requires the legislature to provide state funding to support basic education in public schools. The legislature defines the program of basic education that each school district must provide its students. The amount of state funding to be given to each school district each year is based on funding formulas. In 2009, the legislature revised its statutory funding formulas to be phased in by 2018. The Washington Supreme Court has held that by 2018 the state must provide sufficient funding to fully implement the revised formulas.

Under the current school funding law, the legislature first determines what minimum costs, including minimum staffing costs, are necessary to operate prototypical elementary, middle, and high schools. Funding for each school district is then adjusted depending on how much a district's schools vary from the prototypical schools. Nothing in the current funding law requires school districts to maintain a particular classroom-teacher-to-student ratio or other staff-to-student ratio, or to use state

funds to pay for particular types or classifications of staff. Thus, school districts have discretion to use their state funding to support different class sizes if they so choose.

A prototypical high school has 600 full-time students, a prototypical middle school has 432 full-time students, and a prototypical elementary school has 400 full-time students. The minimum funding for each prototypical school must be based in part on the number of full-time classroom teachers needed to provide the minimum number of instruction hours, plus at least one teacher planning period per day. The current school funding law assumes general education average class sizes ranging from 25.23 students for grades K-3, to 28.74 students for grades 9-12.

Current law requires that beginning with high poverty schools (meaning schools with the highest percentage of students eligible for free and reduced-price meals), the general education average class size for grades K-3 will be reduced, for funding purposes, to no more than 17 full-time students per teacher by the 2017-18 school year. In the 2013-14 budget, the legislature provided funding for reduced general education average class sizes in high poverty schools ranging from 20.85 students in grades K-1 for the 2013-14 school year, to 28.74 students in grades 9-12. For the 2014-15 school year, the legislature has also budgeted for increased funding for class size reduction in high poverty schools in grades K-1. High poverty schools will receive additional funding if they can demonstrate reduced actual average class sizes in grades K-1, down to a limit of 20.30 full time students per teacher.

In 2014, the legislature added a requirement, effective in September 2014, that the minimum funding for a prototypical high school must also assume smaller class sizes for two laboratory science classes in grades 9-12. The minimum funding calculation must assume an average of 19.98 full time students for these laboratory classes. Separate funding calculations also assume average class sizes of 22.76 in skill centers and 26.57 for career and technical education in middle school and high school.

Current law also calculates minimum allocations assuming certain additional staff for each prototypical school. These staff include administrators, like principals and assistant principals, librarians, school nurses, guidance counselors, psychologists, and other support staff. While the current funding law does not require any funding for parent involvement coordinators at any level, the legislature has budgeted 0.0825 for elementary school parent involvement coordinators for the 2014-15 school year. Current law also requires funding for staff providing

district-wide services like technology support, maintenance, and mechanics to be set according to a statutory number of staff per thousand students.

Finally, in addition to calculating minimum funding necessary for teachers and staff, current school funding law also sets minimum allocations per student for materials, supplies, and operating costs. The current budget provides for an increase in these allocations for all students for the 2014-15 school year, with an extra increase for high school students. The current school funding law also requires an additional increase in these allocations for the 2015-16 school year for all students.

The Effect of the Proposed Measure, if Approved

This measure would direct the legislature to allocate funds to reduce class sizes and increase staffing support for students in all K-12 grades, with additional class size reductions and staffing increases in high poverty schools. Funding increases would be phased in over a four-year period. The measure would increase the state's financial obligation to amply fund basic education by changing the formula for determining what basic education funds will be given to each school district each year.

The measure would leave intact the statement in the school funding law that nothing in that law requires school districts to maintain a particular classroom-teacher-to-student ratio or other staff-to-student ratio, or to use state funds to pay for particular types or classifications of staff.

The measure would require minimum funding based on the school district's demonstrated actual average class size, down to certain limits for each grade level. The following chart shows minimum average class size assumptions under current law, followed by the lower limits of general education average class sizes that could be funded under the initiative:

Table 1.1 General Education Average Class Size				
Grade Level	Current General Education Average Class Size	Measure's General Education Average Class Size		
Grades K-3	25.23	17		
Grades 4-6	27.00	25		
Grades 7-8	28.53	25		
Grades 9-12	28.74	25		

The measure would allow funding for the following class size reductions for high poverty schools:

Table 1.2 Average Class Size for High Poverty Schools					
Grade Level	Current General Education Average Class Size High Poverty Schools	Measure's General Education Average Class Size High Poverty Schools			
Grades K-1 (2013- 2014 school year)	20.85	15			
Grades K-1 (2014- 2015 school year)	24.10 average; funding allowed to 20.30, if demonstrated	15			
Grades 2-3	24.10	15			
Grade 4	27.00	22			
Grades 5-6	27.00	23			
Grades 7-8	28.53	23			
Grades 9-12	28.74	23			

All school districts that demonstrate space restrictions that prevent them from reducing actual class sizes to funded levels could use the funding for school-based staff who provide direct services to students.

The measure would also allow funding for the following average class size reductions for career and technical education in middle school and high school:

Table 1.3 Average Class Size for Career and Technical Education					
	Current Average Class Size	Measure's Average Class Size			
Career and Technical Education Classes	26.57	19			
Skill Center Programs	22.76	16			

The measure would also change minimum allocations for additional staff for each level of prototypical school as follows:

Table 1.4 Staff per Elementary School (400 students)					
Staff Type	Currently Funded	Measure			
Principals, Assistant Principals, and other building administrators	1.253	1.3			
Teacher Librarians	0.663	1.0			
School Nurses	0.076	0.585			
Social Workers	0.042	0.311			
Psychologists	0.017	0.104			
Guidance Counselors	0.493	0.50			
Teaching assistance	0.936	2.0			
Office support and other non-instructional aides	2.012	3.0			
Custodians	1.657	1.7			
Classified staff for student and staff safety	0.079	0.0			
Parent Involvement Coordinators	0.00	1.0			

Table 1.5 Staff per Middle School (432 students)					
Staff Type	Currently Funded	Measure			
Principals, Assistant Principals, and other building administrators	1.353	1.4			
Teacher Librarians	0.519	1.0			
School Nurses	0.060	0.888			
Social Workers	0.006	0.088			
Psychologists	0.002	0.024			
Guidance Counselors	1.116	2.0			
Teaching assistance	0.700	1.0			
Office support and other non-instructional aides	2.325	3.5			
Custodians	1.942	2.0			
Classified staff for student and staff safety	0.092	0.7			
Parent Involvement Coordinators	0.00	1.0			

Table 1.6 Staff per High School (600 students)					
Staff Type	Currently Funded	Measure			
Principals, Assistant Principals, and other building administrators	1.880	1.9			
Teacher Librarians	0.523	1.0			
School Nurses	0.096	0.824			
Social Workers	0.015	0.127			
Psychologists	0.007	0.049			
Guidance Counselors	2.539	3.5			
Teaching assistance	0.652	1.0			
Office support and other non-instructional aides	3.269	3.5			
Custodians	2.965	3.0			
Classified staff for student and staff safety	0.141	1.3			
Parent Involvement Coordinators	0.00	1.0			

The measure would require funding for staff providing district-wide services to be increased to support the following staffing levels:

Table 1.7 District-Wide Service Staff per 1,000 K-12 students					
	Currently Funded	Measure			
Technology	0.628	2.8			
Facilities, maintenance, and grounds	1.813	4.0			
Warehouse, laborers, and mechanics	0.332	1.9			

All other aspects of the funding formula, including the minimum allocations for maintenance, supplies, and operating costs would remain the same.

The measure would require that these changes be fully implemented by the end of the 2017-19 biennium. The measure would also require that for the 2015-17 biennium, the legislature must find funding for and allocate no less than fifty percent of the difference between the funding that was necessary to meet the funding requirements as of September 1, 2013, and the funding necessary to fully implement this measure. In meeting this benchmark, priority for additional funding must be given to the highest poverty schools and school districts.

Finally, local school districts have the authority to levy local property taxes, and the maximum amount is set by statute. In addition, levy equalization provides extra state funding to support school districts with higher-than-average property tax rates as a result of lower assessed property values. Levy authority and levy equalization payments change if state school funding levels change. For example, if state funding to school districts increases in one school year, levy authority and levy equalization payments increase for the following calendar year. Because this measure would increase state funding to school districts, it would also result in an increase in local levy authority and in levy equalization payments.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Written by the Office of Financial Management For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

Initiative 1351 (I-1351) will not increase or decrease state revenues. State expenditures will increase — through distributions to local school districts — by an estimated \$4.7 billion through 2019 based on changes to the statutory funding formulas for K-12 class sizes and staffing levels, and through increases in state levy equalization payments directed by current law. Under current law, I-1351 will increase school districts' authority to levy additional property taxes. It is unknown if districts would exercise this authority, but it could generate up to an estimated \$1.9 billion in additional local revenues through 2019.

General Assumptions

- The effective date for section 1, the intent section, and section 3, the phase-in schedule, is December 4, 2014.
- The effective date for section 2, which changes staffing formulas for basic education, is September 1, 2018.
- State estimates are described using the state's fiscal year of July 1 through June 30. For example, state fiscal year 2015 is July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015.
- School district estimates are described using the school fiscal year of September 1 through August 31. For example, school year 2014–15 is September 1, 2014, to August 31, 2015.
- I-1351 has no fiscal impact on school year 2014–15 or on state fiscal year 2015.
- Due to current law, the changes in I-1351 will have the effect of increasing local levy authority and levy equalization payments. Changes to local levy au-

- thority are described on a calendar-year basis.
- The Office of Financial Management assumes the school year 2014–15 funding formulas continue into the future, except where stated.
- Public school enrollment is forecast to grow annually between now and 2019. This fiscal impact statement incorporates higher student enrollments for its calculations as forecast by the Washington State Caseload Forecast Council.
- State and local salaries will increase annually by the Initiative 732 cost-of-living adjustment as forecast by the Washington State Economic and Revenue Forecast Council.
- Pension rates are as adopted by the state Select Committee on Pension Policy, July 2014.
- Enrollment in high-poverty schools is projected by using free and reduced-price lunch eligibility for the 2013–14 school year.
- Chapter 236, Laws of 2010 (Substitute House Bill 2776), requires the state's funding formulas to support class sizes of 17 for kindergarten through grade three (K-3) and 100 percent enrollment in state-funded, full-day kindergarten by school year 2017–18. Since current law does not specify what additional funding will be put into class size or full-day kindergarten for the 2015–17 biennium, baseline K-3 class sizes and full-day kindergarten enrollment are assumed to be the same as for school year 2014–15.

State Revenues

I-1351 does not increase or decrease state revenue collections.

State Expenditures

As shown in Table 2.1, state expenditures will increase by \$4.7 billion through 2019 due to:

- The phase-in schedule and changes to state formulas, affecting the number of teachers and staff funded to meet the smaller class size and other conditions of the initiative.
- 2. Increases in state levy equalization payments.

(See Table 2.1 on page 14)

I-1351 new staffing formulas are not fully implemented until midway through the 2017–19 biennium. Full biennial costs are projected to be \$3.8 billion for the 2019–21 biennium.

2015–17 Biennium

I-1351, section 3(1) requires that "[f]or the 2015–17 biennium, funding allocations shall be no less than

fifty percent of the difference between the funding necessary to support the numerical values under RCW 28A.150.260 as of September 1, 2013, and the funding necessary to support the numerical values" under I-1351, section 2, effective September 1, 2018.

The fiscal impact of this section is \$2 billion for the 2015–17 biennium.

The 2015–17 biennium refers to school years 2015–16 and 2016–17. Using updated enrollments, salaries and benefits for the 2015–16 and 2016–17 school years, the fiscal impact was calculated by finding, for the respective school years:

- The cost of the changes to state staffing formulas in I-1351, section 2
- 2. The cost of the state staffing formulas in place as of September 1, 2013
- The difference in costs between the two formulas, by school year
- 4. The amount of that difference divided by half
- 5. That amount adjusted from a school fiscal year to the state fiscal year schedule

I-1351 places priority for additional funding provided during the 2015–17 biennium for the highest-poverty schools and school districts. For the purpose of this estimate, it is assumed the state will appropriate the minimum amounts stated in I-1351.

2017-19 Biennium

I-1351 requires that by the end of the 2017–19 biennium, funding allocations be no less than the funding necessary to support the formulas stated in the initiative at that time.

The fiscal impact of this section is \$2.7 billion for the 2017–19 biennium.

The 2017–19 biennium refers to school years 2017–18 and 2018–19. It is assumed the funding required by I-1351 in the 2015–17 biennium will continue for school year 2017–18 and that the initiative will be fully implemented in school year 2018–19.

The state will need to provide \$1.3 billion more in the 2017–19 biennium to implement the requirements of Chapter 236, Laws of 2010 (SHB 2776) in school year 2017–18. However, this amount is separate from the fiscal impact of I-1351, as these class sizes and enrollments are already authorized under state law.

Consistent with current law, it is assumed that as of school year 2017–18, the state will provide funding for class sizes of 17 for grades K-3 and funding to support full-day kindergarten for all kindergarten students statewide.

Basic Education Formula Changes Effective September 1, 2018 (school year 2018–19)

I-1351, section 2 amends RCW 28A.150.260, the state's basic education formulas for general student class size and school staffing, effective September 1, 2018. It lowers the class-size ratios and increases staffing for both school-based and district-wide staff. This will increase the state general student rate provided to districts. And because I-1351 increases the state general rate, it will also increase the state's funding for special education. Schools now receiving a small school factor will receive more funding through the funding formula and, consequently, will receive less funding under the small school factor.

Table 2.2 is a summary of the staffing changes under I-1351. It shows, for school year 2018–19, the new state-funded staff positions and their cost. These projections assume that class sizes of 17 for grades K-3 will have already been implemented under current law in school year 2017–18. All other costs compare the staffing formulas authorized for school year 2014–15.

(See Table 2.2 on page 15)

Increase of Levy Equalization Payments to Districts

As state formula funding increases under I-1351, under current law, so does districts' local levy authority and state levy equalization payments. Table 2.3 shows the impact from I-1351 on state levy equalization payments.

(See Table 2.3 on page 15)

Local Revenues

Revenue Received from the State

I-1351 increases revenues districts receive from the state by \$4.7 billion over five years.

Table 2.4 summarizes the district revenues received from the state. (Please see the state expenditure information and Table 2.1 for an explanation of how district revenues received from the state will increase under I-1351.)

Note: This funding is received on a school-year basis, which is different from the state fiscal year. As a result, the figures in Table 2.1 and Table 2.4 may not match.

(See Table 2.4 on page 15)

Revenues from School District Property Tax Levies

Since I-1351 increases the state K-12 funding to districts under RCW 84.52.0531(3), it also increases local levy authority.

It is unknown how many districts will exercise this authority. Further, voters must approve school district levies and school boards must annually certify the

amount of property taxes to be collected. However, districts opting to exercise this authority could generate up to an additional \$1.9 billion in local revenue from higher property taxes over the next five years.

Table 2.5 shows, on a calendar-year basis, the statewide increase of local levy authority under I-1351.

(See Table 2.5 on page 15)

Local Expenditures

I-1351 increases school district expenditures by \$6.0 billion over five years. See Table 2.6 for detail by school year.

I-1351 requires that state funding for class-size reduction be provided only to the extent districts document they are meeting the funded class-size reductions under the initiative. However, districts with facility needs that prevent them from reducing class sizes may use the funding for school-based personnel who provide direct services to students. It is unknown how many districts will apply for this exemption. It is also unknown what mix of school-based personnel would be employed, such as instructional aides, counselors, principals, etc., instead of classroom teachers. For the purpose of this cost estimate, it is assumed districts will staff for the class sizes stated in I-1351.

I-1351's staffing directive does not apply to the schoolbased or district-based staffing allocations. It is unknown how districts will spend this funding. For the purpose of this cost estimate, it is assumed districts will staff to the formulas provided in the initiative. It is assumed districts will fully spend the allocations received for special education, career and technical education and skill centers on those programs, consistent with current program requirements. It is also assumed that districts will maintain statewide average salary rates as provided in school year 2013–14. Local school district average salaries are higher than funding apportioned by the state.

(See Table 2.6 on page 15)

Facility Costs and Impacts on State and Local Capital Budgets I-1351 does not mandate an increase in state or local capital facilities. It is unknown how districts will implement I-1351 or how it will affect their facility choices. Districts may propose a bond measure to build new facilities or remodel existing facilities. All bonds are subject to voter approval. Some voter-approved bonds may be eligible for state construction assistance.



Tables 2.1 through 2.6

Dollars in Millions (rounded to 10 millions)

Example: 1 = 1,000,000

Table 2.1 Summary of State Expenditures Under I-1351 (dollars in millions)							
State Fiscal Years	2015*	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
Phase-in changes to state funding formulas	\$0	\$890	\$1,090	\$890	\$1,620	\$4,490	
Higher levy equalization payments	\$0	\$0	\$60	\$80	\$70	\$210	
Total	\$0	\$890	\$1,150	\$970	\$1,690	\$4,700	
VT	· ·	0045					

^{*}The requirements of I-1351 do not start until after fiscal year 2015 is completed.

Table 2.2 New Staff and Related Costs for Implementing I-1351 on Sept. 1, 2018* (dollars in millions)								
School Year 2018–19								
Class Size/Position	New State-Funded Staff Positions (full-time equivalent employees)	Expenditures	New School District Expenditures					
Additional teachers to meet class-size changes	7,453	\$510	\$590					
Additional school-based staff	17,081	\$810	\$980					
Additional district/central staff	1,027	\$370	\$450					
Special education funds**	n/a	\$140	\$170					
Reduction in small school factor	-237	-\$20	-\$20					

^{*}Changes refer to I-1351 compared to continuing school year 2014–15 apportioned formula, with the exception of K-3 class size of 17 and statewide full-day kindergarten, which are scheduled to be implemented by school year 2017–18, pursuant to Chapter 236, Laws of 2010. As of Sept. 1, 2013, these class sizes were authorized under RCW 28A.150.220, though they were not funded as of Sept. 1, 2013.

Note: Once current law (Chapter 236, Laws of 2010) is implemented, the state will fund 7,396 additional teachers and 909 other staff to meet class sizes of 17 for K-3.

Table 2.3 State Levy Equalization Payments (dollars in millions)							
State Fiscal Years	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total	
Cost	n/a	n/a	\$60	\$80	\$70	\$210	

Table 2.4 Estimated School District Revenues from State Funds (dollars in millions)								
School Years	2014–15	2015–16	2016–17	2017–18	2018–19	Total		
State formulas	n/a	\$1,110	\$1,100	\$850	\$1,810	\$4,870		
State levy equalization	n/a	\$0	\$60	\$80	\$70	\$210		
Total State Funds	n/a	\$1,110	\$1,160	\$930	\$1,880	\$5,080		

Table 2.5 Estimated School District Levy Authority Increases (dollars in millions)								
Calendar Years	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total		
Local levy authority	n/a	n/a	\$750	\$660	\$520	\$1,930		

Table 2.6 Estimated School District Expenditures (dollars in millions)							
School Years	2014–15	2015–16	2016–17	2017–18	2018–19	Total	
Expenditures	\$0	\$1,320	\$1,380	\$1,100	\$2,240	\$6,040	

^{**}Special education is distributed as a percentage of the general student rate. The state formula does not allocate staffing positions for special education.

Argument For

Initiative Measure 1351

Yes on I-1351: Every Child Deserves an Uncrowded Classroom

Every Washington child, regardless of family income, race, or where they live, deserves a quality education in an uncrowded classroom. Currently, Washington ranks 47th out of 50 states for class size. This is unacceptable.

Smaller Class Sizes at Every Grade Level

Independent research – and common sense – tell us that students perform better with more individual attention. This is true in elementary, middle school and high school where the rigors of science, technology, engineering and math (STEM) programs demand more from students – and teachers. Fostering lifelong science and math skills is key to future jobs. Packing 30 kids in chemistry or computer labs designed for 25 shortchanges their futures.

Four-Year Phase-In for All Schools

I-1351 gives the state four years to phase in statewide class size reduction for all our kids. Recognizing that class sizes are often highest – and most detrimental to student achievement – in high-poverty communities, I-1351 prioritizes these schools first.

47th In the Nation is Unacceptable

The state Supreme Court recently ruled that the Legislature is failing to meet constitutional requirements to fund our schools – one reason we rank 47th in class size. I-1351 is part of the solution, following class size limits set by a bipartisan commission as part of the effort to comply with the court. I-1351 gives every child the opportunity to succeed.

Endorsed: Broad coalition of parents, teachers, education staff, PTA leaders and organizations, superintendents, State Labor Council, community and human service leaders.

Rebuttal of Argument Against

I-1351 is about one thing: giving every Washington child the opportunity to learn and thrive in an uncrowded classroom. I-1351 meets the Supreme Court's four-year school funding timeline and follows the state's bipartisan class-size reduction recommendations. More individual attention requires additional teachers, counselors and librarians – not the "bureaucracy" opponents claim. The real cost of overcrowded classrooms is our kids' future; 47th in the nation is unacceptable. We must do better. Please vote "Yes."

Argument Prepared by

Mary Howes, public school parent and former teacher, Kent; Desi Saylors, middle school science teacher, North Thurston; Shelley Redinger, Spokane Schools Superintendent; Darren Campbell, Tacoma PTA President; Estela Ortega, El Centro de la Raza Executive Director; Randy Dorn, State Superintendent of Public Instruction

Contact: info@classsizecountswa.com; www.ClassSizeCountsWA.com

Argument Against

Initiative Measure 1351

This \$4 Billion Budget Buster is Not What It Claims

Don't be fooled: this is a budget-busting initiative, costing \$4 billion at full implementation without a revenue source.

Put \$4 billion in context: Washington spends less on higher education, nursing homes, cancer research and state parks *combined* than I-1351 requires! Politicians could eliminate funding for them all and still have to raise your taxes.

Mostly Funds More Bureaucracy, Not Smaller Class Sizes

Read the fine print. Only 1/3rd of the proposed spending, above what current law requires, is for reducing class sizes. The remaining 2/3rds goes to hire over 17,000 people who are not classroom teachers – including social workers, psychologists, and administrative staff.

I-1351 equals a \$2,300 Tax Increase on Every Homeowner

Make no mistake – this will force an enormous tax increase! Politicians could increase the state property tax by 75%, raise the gas tax by 10 cents, and substantially raise higher education tuition on our families – and still come up short of \$4 billion.

Class Sizes Will Decrease Substantially Even Without I-1351

Class sizes will become smaller in the next four years. Current law – and Supreme Court order – already requires the state to hire thousands more teachers, costing \$1 billion. I-1351's costs are on top of this, devoting the money mostly to employees who are not classroom teachers. Taxpayers, teachers, and students don't need billions more in "overhead." We can do better. Vote "No" on I-1351!

Rebuttal of Argument For

The supporters' class-size argument is deceptive and misleading. Washington is already required to reduce class sizes dramatically in coming years through a law that directs more spending to classrooms. In comparison, I-1351 sinks 2/3rds of its spending (\$4 billion) into administration and non-teaching positions. The truth: I-1351 is a budget-buster that will require massive tax increases and major cuts to vital services for seniors, vulnerable children, and the disabled. Please vote no.

Argument Prepared by

John E. Braun, State Senator; Mary Lou Evans, Former PTA President, Mill Creek; Dave Powell, Stand for Children Executive Director; Roger A. Miller, Retired Washington State Public School Teacher; Connie Gerlitz, Parent and Grandparent; Ron Averill, US Army, retired Colonel

Contact: No information submitted

Initiative Measure No.

591

concerns firearms.

This measure would prohibit government agencies from confiscating guns or other firearms from citizens without due process, or from requiring background checks on firearm recipients unless a uniform national standard is required.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

[] Yes

[] No

The Secretary of State is not responsible for the content of statements or arguments (WAC 434-381-180).



The explanatory statements for initiatives 591 and 594 begin similarly because both describe current firearms law. This is not an error. The effects of the proposed measures are different.

Explanatory Statement

Written by the Office of the Attorney General

The Law as it Presently Exists

Both state and federal laws require that certain sellers of firearms conduct background checks of buyers before selling firearms to determine whether the buyer can legally possess a firearm. Washington law makes it illegal for convicted felons to possess firearms. It also makes it illegal for certain others to possess firearms, including people who: (1) have been convicted of certain misdemeanors; (2) have been issued certain types of restraining orders; (3) have been found not guilty of a crime by reason of insanity; (4) have been found mentally incompetent; or (5) have certain criminal charges pending. It is a felony to deliver any firearm to any person reasonably believed to be prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm.

State laws governing background checks vary from state to state. In Washington, a background check is only required to buy a pistol, and only if the seller is a firearms dealer. Washington law also provides an exception to the background check requirement for certain sales of pistols from dealers. If the buyer has already been issued a concealed pistol license, then no further background check is required. Also, a firearms dealer can complete a sale if the sheriff or police chief fails to provide the results of a background check within five business days. That five day period can be extended if the buyer does not have a valid permanent Washington driver's license or identification card, or has lived in Washington for less than ninety days.

Washington law allows Washington residents to buy rifles and shotguns in other states. And it allows residents of other states to buy rifles and shotguns in Washington. In both cases, the sale must comply with federal law. The sale must also be legal under the laws of both Washington and the other state.

Federal law also requires background checks on potential buyers of firearms. This federal requirement applies only when the seller is a firearms dealer. Unlike Washington law, the federal requirement applies to all types of firearms, not just pistols. Federal law does not require a background check if the buyer holds a concealed pistol license. Also, federal law allows a firearms dealer to complete a sale if the results of a background check are not returned within three business days.

The federal and state constitutions prohibit governments from confiscating private property, including firearms, without providing due process of law. In general, due process requires a lawful basis for taking the property, notice of the government's action, and an opportunity to explain why property should not be forfeited. Court proceedings are examples of ways in which due process is provided. Washington law authorizes the forfeiture of firearms in a number of situations. Washington courts may order forfeiture of firearms found in the possession of people who cannot legally possess firearms or who have criminal proceedings pending. Courts may also order forfeiture of firearms that have been found concealed on a person who does not have a permit to carry a concealed pistol. Firearms used in the commission of certain crimes may also be forfeited. And firearms can be forfeited if found in the possession of a person arrested for a felony in which the firearm was used or displayed.

The Effect of the Proposed Measure, if Approved

This measure would prohibit government agencies from requiring background checks on the recipient of a firearm unless a uniform national standard is required.

This measure would also state that government agencies may not confiscate firearms from citizens without due process.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Written by the Office of Financial Management For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

Initiative 591 would have no direct impact on state and local revenues, costs, expenditures or indebtedness.

General Assumptions

- The federal and state constitutions prohibit governments from confiscating private property, including firearms, without due process of law. Therefore, it is currently unlawful for any government agency to confiscate guns or other firearms from citizens without due process.
- The Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act of 1993 (Brady Act), Public Law 103-159, is a required uniform national standard for a background check on the recipient of a firearm.
- Current state law regarding a background check on the recipient of a firearm would remain in effect.
- The effective date of the initiative is December 4, 2014.

Election results mobile app

Free! Available for iPhone and Android.

Search for "WA State Election Results" in the app store on iTunes or Google Play.

Results are announced after 8 p.m. on Election Day and are updated frequently.



Results are not final or official until certified.

Argument For

Initiative Measure 591

Protect your rights, vote yes on 591

Initiative 591 protects against *illegal* search and seizure, preventing politicians and bureaucrats driven by an anti-rights agenda from depriving citizens of their property without due process.

The gun prohibition lobby responsible for draconian anti-civil rights and self-defense laws in New York, Washington, D.C. and Chicago, is now targeting Washington citizens, using money and resources from out of state.

No gun confiscation without due process

We saw firearms confiscated without due process in New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina. Some people never got their property back. We are seeing confiscation of firearms in Connecticut. New York, New Jersey and California.

This affects you if you own a gun, or not

In Washington State, we have already seen legislation proposed to allow police to enter *your home* and search *your bedroom* for lawfully owned firearms without a warrant or court order. Government agencies are collecting record amounts of *your personal data*, raising grave privacy concerns.

591 does not prevent background checks

591 protects background check uniformity and prevents unwarranted intrusion by the state into temporary firearm loans to friends or in-laws. It stops the state from creating a universal gun registry that could enable future confiscation. Maintaining balance between privacy rights and public safety is what 591 is about. It is supported by a diverse bipartisan coalition of law enforcement professionals, collectors, competitors, and sportsmen and women who believe that nobody's privacy should be for sale to the gun prohibition lobby.

Rebuttal of Argument Against

The most telling thing is what opponents don't rebut. They ignore the fact that 591 stops firearms confiscation without due process of law. Why? Because due process led to a unanimous court reversal of the Seattle gun ban they supported! Instead, they falsely claim that 591 weakens current background checks. But they can't cite an example because there isn't one. We need a strong uniform national standard background check law because criminals cross state lines.

Argument Prepared by

Alan Gottlieb, Chair, Protect Our Gun Rights Coalition; Bill Burris, Spokesman, Washington State Law Enforcement Firearms Instructors Association; Brian Blake, State Representative, Democrat, six term veteran legislator; John Rodabaugh, President, Washington Arms Collectors; Julianne Versnel, Publisher, Second Amendment Foundation's Woman & Guns Magazine; Phil Shave, Retired Chief, Law Enforcement State Parks

Contact: (425) 454-4911; info@YesOn591.org;

www.YesOn591.org

Argument Against

Initiative Measure 591

Initiative 591 will make it easier for guns to fall into the wrong hands by weakening our criminal background check system on gun sales.

No on 591: We Need Stronger, Not Weaker, Criminal Background Checks on Gun Sales

591 would roll back Washington's existing - and already inadequate - background check laws to conform to weak federal standards. 591 is a dangerous step backward. It locks in loopholes that allow criminals, domestic abusers and other dangerous individuals to buy guns without a criminal background check. Washington voters have a choice this election: close loopholes that allow criminals and people with severe mental illnesses to buy guns without criminal background checks, or roll back standards.

No on 591: Trust Washington Voters, Not Congress

591 ties the hands of Washington voters and locks us into a federal standard. Washington voters should not hand over our ability to protect our lives and property to a Congress who has failed to act.

No on 591: Protect Safety, Not Criminals

No one wants to see criminals and other dangerous people continue to have easy access to firearms. Criminal background checks work. Since its inception, the background check system has blocked 2.2 million gun sales to prohibited people. We should be strengthening the system, but 591 does the opposite. It makes it easier for dangerous individuals to get guns.

This is why a broad coalition of law enforcement, gun violence survivors, domestic violence survivors and faith leaders encourage you to vote *No on Initiative 591*.

Rebuttal of Argument For

Current federal background check laws are weaker than Washington state standards. 591 would roll back our laws and tie the hands of voters - and law enforcement - giving criminals easy access to guns. Background checks work. States that have weakened background checks standards have seen an increase in murder rates and gun violence overall. Let's close loopholes and make it harder for criminals to access guns. Vote No on 591.

Argument Prepared by

Cheryl Stumbo, Jewish Federation Shooting Survivor; Jolaine Marr, Domestic Violence Survivor; Faith Ireland, retired State Supreme Court Justice; Robert Brauer, Lifetime Member of NRA, Gun Owner; Kim Abel, President, League of Women Voters of Washington; Becky Roe, former prosecutor, past Washington Association of Justice President

Contact: (206) 659-6737; info@wagunresponsibility.org; www.NoOn591.com

Initiative Measure No.

594

concerns background checks for firearm sales and transfers.

This measure would apply currently used criminal and public safety background checks by licensed dealers to all firearm sales and transfers, including gun show and online sales, with specific exceptions.

Should this measure be enacted into law?

[] Yes

[] No

The Secretary of State is not responsible for the content of statements or arguments (WAC 434-381-180).



The explanatory statements for initiatives 591 and 594 begin similarly because both describe current firearms law. This is not an error. The effects of the proposed measures are different.

Explanatory Statement

Written by the Office of the Attorney General

The Law as it Presently Exists

Both state and federal laws require that certain sellers of firearms conduct background checks of buyers before selling firearms to determine whether the buyer can legally possess a firearm. Washington law makes it illegal for convicted felons to possess firearms. It also makes it illegal for certain others to possess firearms, including people who: (1) have been convicted of certain misdemeanors; (2) have been issued certain types of restraining orders; (3) have been found not guilty of a crime by reason of insanity; (4) have been found mentally incompetent; or (5) have certain criminal charges pending. It is a felony to deliver any firearm to any person reasonably believed to be prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm.

State laws governing background checks vary from state to state. In Washington, a background check is only required to buy a pistol, and only if the seller is a firearms dealer. Washington law also provides an

exception to the background check requirement for certain sales of pistols from dealers. If the buyer has already been issued a concealed pistol license, then no further background check is required. Also, a firearms dealer can complete a sale if the sheriff or police chief fails to provide the results of a background check within five business days. That five day period can be extended if the buyer does not have a valid permanent Washington driver's license or identification card, or has lived in Washington for less than ninety days.

Washington law allows Washington residents to buy rifles and shotguns in other states. And it allows residents of other states to buy rifles and shotguns in Washington. In both cases, the sale must comply with federal law. The sale must also be legal under the laws of both Washington and the other state.

Federal law also requires background checks on potential buyers of firearms. This federal requirement applies only when the seller is a firearms dealer. Unlike Washington law, the federal requirement applies to all types of firearms, not just pistols. Federal law does not require a background check if the buyer holds a concealed pistol license. Also, federal law allows a firearms dealer to complete a sale if the results of a background check are not returned within three business days.

Washington's sales tax and use tax generally apply to sales of firearms. Sales tax does not apply to casual and isolated sales by sellers who are not engaged in business. This means, for example, that a sale of a firearm by a private individual who is not engaged in business is not subject to sales tax. Sales by firearms dealers or other businesses are subject to tax.

The Effect of the Proposed Measure, if Approved

This measure would apply the background check requirements currently used for firearm sales by licensed dealers to all firearm sales and transfers where at least one party is in Washington. Background checks would thus be required not only for sales and transfers of firearms through firearms dealers, but also at gun shows, online, and between unlicensed private individuals. Background checks would be required for any sale or transfer of a firearm, whether for money or as a gift or loan, with specific exceptions described below. Background checks would be required whether the firearm involved is a pistol or another type of firearm. Violations of these requirements would be crimes.

The measure would establish a number of exceptions to the background check requirement. A background check would not be required to transfer a firearm by gift between family members. The background check

requirement also would not apply to the sale or transfer of antique firearms. It also would not apply to certain temporary transfers of a firearm when needed to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm. Background checks would not be required for certain public agencies or officers acting in their official capacity, including law enforcement or corrections agencies or officers, members of the military, and federal officials. Federally licensed gunsmiths who receive firearms solely to service or repair them would not be required to undergo background checks.

Certain other temporary transfers of a firearm would also not require a background check. These include temporary transfers between spouses, and temporary transfers for use at a shooting range, in a competition, or for performances. A temporary transfer to a person under age eighteen for hunting, sporting, or education would not require a background check. Other temporary transfers for lawful hunting also would not require a background check.

A person who inherited a firearm other than a pistol upon the death of its former owner would not be required to undergo a background check. A person who inherited a pistol would either have to lawfully transfer the pistol within 60 days or inform the department of licensing that he or she intended to keep the pistol.

Firearms could only be sold or transferred through licensed firearms dealers. If neither party to the sale or transfer of a firearm was a firearms dealer, then a firearms dealer would have to assist in the sale or transfer. Before a sale or transfer could be completed, a firearms dealer would perform the background check on the buyer or recipient of the firearm. If the background check determined that the buyer or recipient of the firearm was ineligible to possess a firearm, the firearms dealer would return the firearm to the seller or transferor. The firearms dealer could charge a fee for these services.

Firearms dealers could not deliver any firearm to a buyer or recipient until receiving background check results showing that the buyer or recipient can legally possess the firearm. But a firearms dealer could deliver a firearm if background check results were not received within ten business days (as opposed to the five business days currently allowed to conduct the check). If the buyer or recipient did not have a valid permanent Washington driver's license or identification card, or had been a Washington resident for less than 90 days, then the time period for delivery of a pistol would be extended from ten days to 60 days, the same as under current law.

If a firearms dealer violates this measure, his or her license could be revoked. The violation would also be reported to federal authorities.

Sales tax would not apply to the sale or transfer of firearms between people who are not licensed firearms dealers, so long as they comply with all background check requirements. Using a licensed firearms dealer to assist with such sales or transfers would not result in sales or use tax.

Fiscal Impact Statement

Written by the Office of Financial Management For more information visit www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot

Initiative 594 is expected to have minimal impact on state and local revenues. The net change cannot be estimated because the impact depends upon optional fees that may be charged by licensed firearms dealers. State expenditures for the Department of Licensing may total an estimated \$921,000 over the next five years, which includes one-time implementation costs, ongoing expenses related to complying with current state pistol transfer laws and new license oversight requirements. State expenditures for enforcing the measure are estimated to be less than \$50,000 per year. Local government expenditures are estimated to be less than \$50,000 per year.

General Assumptions

- The effective date of the initiative is December 4, 2014.
- Estimates are described using the state's fiscal year (FY) of July 1 through June 30. FY 2015 is July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015.

State Revenue Assumptions

- Licensed firearms dealers may charge a fee for the administrative costs of facilitating the background check and private sale or transfer of a firearm.
- Licensed firearms dealers would be required to pay the state business and occupation tax on any fees charged.
- Licensed firearms dealers would not be required to collect sales or use tax when facilitating a private sale or transfer of a firearm.
- Consistent with current law, a person would continue to be required to pay state use tax when purchasing or transferring a firearm in a private transaction.

State Revenues

Current law requires licensed firearms dealers to collect use tax from the Washington buyer in an interstate firearm sale or transfer. Under Initiative 594 (I-594) licensed dealers would no longer be required to collect use taxes on interstate sales or transfers. State revenues would be decreased minimally by the loss of use taxes on interstate sales or transfers no longer collected by licensed dealers.

I-594 authorizes licensed dealers to charge a fee to cover the administrative cost of facilitating background checks and private firearm sales and transfers. State revenues would be increased by the business and occupation taxes due on any fees charged by licensed firearms dealers. It is unknown how many licensed dealers will charge a fee or what any particular licensed dealer may set as the fee.

Therefore, I-594 would have a minimal impact on state revenues. The change in revenues cannot be estimated without information on whether licensed dealers would charge administrative fees, at what amount fees might be set, how many licensed dealers may charge administrative fees or the number of firearm purchases made each year where use taxes would be due.

State Expenditure Assumptions

- All private pistol sales and transfers would be reported to the Department of Licensing (DOL).
- Private sales or transfers of firearms other than pistols would not be reported to DOL.
- DOL would process more pistol sales and transfer reports each year than it currently does.
- DOL would print more pistol sales and transfer forms each year than it currently does.
- DOL would modify the Business and Professions Firearm Database System to account for private pistol sales and transfers reported by licensed firearms dealers.
- DOL would need additional staff for the increased pistol transfer workload and program administration, and to develop and manage new reporting requirements and license revocation authority.
- About 90 percent of all licensed firearms dealers would report private pistol sales and transfers using paper forms.
- Based on historical pistol sales and transfer data from DOL, the number of pistol sales and transfers reported to the agency would increase an average of 20 percent annually.

State Expenditures

Licensing and Record Keeping

Current law requires licensed firearms dealers to record all pistol sales or transfers with DOL. Firearms dealers may use a paper form or an electronic system to report the sale or transfer. In 2013, 89 percent of all licensed dealers used only paper forms.

Under I-594, licensed firearms dealers would continue to be required to report pistol sales and transfers to DOL. In addition, licensed firearms dealers would be required to report all private pistol sales and transfers they facilitate. The initiative includes exceptions to this requirement, such as transfers between certain family members. Private sales or transfers of firearms other than pistols would not be reported to DOL by a licensed firearms dealer.

Currently, a person who privately sells or transfers a pistol to another person may voluntarily record the change of ownership with DOL. The seller or transferor reports the change of ownership to DOL on a paper form. In August 2013, DOL began tracking the number of reported private pistol sales and transfers. From August 2013 to May 2014, DOL received 1,684 private sales and transfer reports.

Under I-594, the majority of private pistol sales and transfers would be reported to DOL through licensed firearms dealers. In an attempt to estimate the fiscal impact of this change, DOL reviewed data in Colorado on the number of private sales and transfers of pistols through licensed dealers. In 2014, Colorado implemented a law requiring all private pistol sales and transfers be processed through a licensed firearms dealer. The dealer must also conduct a background check on the buyer. Based on data from Colorado, DOL could receive about 12,900 private pistol sales and transfer reports in 2015.

DOL would experience increased expenditures and costs for printing and distributing more pistol sales and transfer forms, modifying the Business and Professions Firearm Database System, hiring a minimal number of staff to handle the additional paper forms submitted by dealers, hiring minimal program administration staff for developing and managing new reporting requirements and license revocation authority, and for rule making. The estimated total cost for these activities over the next five years is \$921,000. Table 3.1 shows DOL estimated costs over the next five fiscal years. (See Table 3.1 on next page.)

Law Enforcement

I-594 would create two new crimes. A person who knowingly violates Section 3 of the initiative could be subject to a gross misdemeanor, punishable under

Chapter 9A.20 RCW. A person who knowingly violates Section 3 a second time, or more, is subject to a class C felony, punishable under Chapter 9A.20 RCW.

The sentence for the class C felony created in the initiative has a standard range of 0 to 12 months. Sentences of fewer than 12 months are typically served in county jail facilities. There would be no increase in state expenditures in cases where the sentence is served in a county facility.

Depending on the circumstances of the case, a judge may impose an aggravated exceptional sentence. If this results in a sentence that exceeds 12 months, the time would be served in a state prison facility and the state would experience increased costs. Assuming the number of cases where an aggravated exceptional sentence would be imposed does not exceed four per year, the Department of Corrections estimates the cost to be less than \$50,000 a year.

Local Government Revenue Assumptions

- Forty cities currently impose a local business and occupation tax. Licensed firearms dealers located in these cities would be required to pay a local business and occupation tax on any fees charged to facilitate a private firearm sale or transfer.
- Licensed firearms dealers would not be required to collect sales or use tax when facilitating a private sale or transfer of a firearm.
- Consistent with current law, a person would continue to be required to pay state use tax when purchasing or transferring a firearm in a private transaction.

Local Government Revenues

Local government revenues would be increased by the business and occupation taxes owed on any fees charged by a licensed firearms dealer facilitating background checks and firearms transfers in the 40 cities currently imposing a local business and occupation tax. Licensed dealers are not required to charge a fee. If there is a fee, it is set by the dealer. It is unknown how many dealers would charge a fee or what a particular dealer might set as the fee. Local government revenues would be decreased by the loss of use taxes no longer required to be collected by licensed firearms dealers.

Therefore, I-594 would have a minimal impact on local government revenues. The change in revenues cannot be estimated without information on whether licensed dealers would charge administrative fees, at what amount fees might be set, how many licensed dealers may charge administrative fees or the number of firearm purchases made each year where use taxes are due.

Local Government Expenditure Assumptions

- No data are available to estimate the number of potential cases that would be investigated and charged for violations of I-594.
- Other criminal justice cost data are available. These data were used to set a maximum number of cases that could occur statewide before local governments experience significant cost increases.
 - o The maximum number of gross misdemeanor cases is 400 each year.
 - o The maximum number of felony cases is 65 each year.

Local Government Expenditures

District and municipal courts (counties and cities) may experience increased costs for hearing additional gross misdemeanor cases. Superior courts (counties) may experience similar increased costs for hearing additional felony cases. The Administrative Office of the Courts estimates the fiscal impact of these cases to be less than \$50,000 per fiscal year if there are fewer than 400 additional gross misdemeanor cases statewide each year and fewer than 65 additional felony cases statewide each year.

Table 3.1 Department of Licensing Estimated Costs by Fiscal Year								
Fiscal Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total		
Cost	\$191,000	\$180,000	\$180,000	\$185,000	\$185,000	\$921,000		

Argument For

Initiative Measure 594

Initiative 594 will ensure everyone in Washington State passes the same background check, no matter where they buy the gun and no matter whom they buy it from.

Initiative 594: Criminal Background Checks Save Lives

Criminal background checks reduce access to guns for criminals, domestic abusers and people with severe mental illnesses. But current law only requires background checks for gun sales at licensed dealers. This means that anyone - including dangerous criminals - can purchase guns at gun shows or online with no background check. 594 closes this loophole by requiring *all* gun sales - including those at gun shows or over the internet – go through a criminal background check.

Initiative 594: Simple and Effective

594 prevents dangerous people from having easy access to guns. It strengthens existing law by ensuring private gun sales go through the same process people use when buying from a licensed gun dealer. Since its inception, the background check system has blocked 2.2 million gun sales to prohibited people. In states that require background checks on all gun sales, 38% fewer women are shot to death by their partners and 39% fewer police officers are killed with handguns.

Initiative 594: Reasonable Exceptions

Gifts between family members, antique sales, and loans for self-defense, hunting or sporting are exempt from background checks.

Initiative 594: Broad Support

Endorsed by law enforcement officers, Republican and Democratic prosecutors, League of Women Voters of Washington, National Physicians Alliance Washington Chapter, Washington Federation of Teachers and newspapers across the state.

Rebuttal of Argument Against

Initiative 594 is simple: it applies the existing background check system to all gun sales - including at gun shows or over the internet where criminals can easily get guns. We know background checks work; states with similar laws see fewer domestic violence murders and fewer police officers killed. 594 is supported by gun owners and contains clear exemptions for law enforcement, family members, hunting and self-defense. It is supported by a statewide bipartisan coalition.

Argument Prepared by

Dan Satterberg, King County Prosecutor, Republican; Mark Roe, Snohomish County Prosecutor, Democrat; John Lovick, Snohomish County Executive, former Snohomish County Sheriff; Faith Ireland, retired State Supreme Court Justice; Cheryl Stumbo, Jewish Federation Shooting Survivor; Robert Brauer, Lifetime Member of NRA, Gun Owner

Contact: (206) 659-6737; info@wagunresponsibility.org; www.wagunresponsibility.org

Argument Against

Initiative Measure 594

Rank and file law enforcement oppose 594

Initiative 594 is an *unfunded mandate* that diverts scarce law enforcement resources away from keeping violent criminals off our streets *making us all less safe*. Do you want sex offenders released from crowded prisons to make room for people convicted of family-firearm transfer violations?

594 is 18 pages of costly and confusing regulatory excess

594 is punitive to lawful firearms owners. Proponents want you to "pass it so you can find out what's in it." Before you vote, *consult your attorney* to see how it criminalizes your behavior. Want to lend your sister-in-law a gun to protect herself? Want to loan your adult sons shotguns to go hunting? *594 makes you a criminal!* A police officer who loans a personal firearm to a fellow officer would face criminal prosecution.

Criminals will violate 594 like they break other laws

Criminals will still acquire firearms where they do now: the black market, straw purchasers, theft and illicit sources like drug dealers.

594 creates a "universal" government database of all lawful handgun owners. We deserve the protection of a well-written background check law that protects the right of privacy for lawful firearms owners.

Don't be fooled by emotional and false statements

We all want guns out of the hands of violent criminals and the dangerously unstable who are a threat to people like us. But this is not the way to do it. You can't change criminal behavior by criminalizing lawful behavior.


Rebuttal of Argument For

Dishonesty! Bait and switch! 594 is *not* just about gun *sales*. It regulates *transfers*, defined so broadly that virtually every time a firearm changes hands it is subject to bureaucracy, fees, taxes and registration. Exceptions are drafted so narrowly they're meaningless. *594 will not prevent crime as proponents claim;* rarely are criminals prosecuted. *594* is "feel good" legislation that *doesn't* help law enforcement. *594* is a poorly-written, unfunded mandate. Visit our website for details.

Argument Prepared by

Craig Bulkley, President, Washington Council of Police and Sheriffs (WACOPS); Christopher Hurst, State Representative, Democrat, 25-year veteran Police Commander; Mark Pidgeon, President, Hunters Heritage Council; Alan Gottlieb, Founder, Second Amendment Foundation; Anette Wachter, Member, Medal Winner, United States National Rifle Team; Ozzie Knezovich, Sheriff, Spokane County

Contact: (425) 454-4911; info@WeCare2014.org; www.WeCare2014.org



Se habla español

Todos los votantes del estado de Washington tienen acceso al folleto electoral y a los formularios de inscripción en español por internet en www.vote.wa.gov.

Adicionalmente, los votantes de los condados de Yakima, Franklin y Adams recibirán su boleta y folleto electoral de forma bilingüe antes de cada elección.

Si usted o alguien que conoce necesitan asistencia en español llame al (800) 448-4881.

中國口語

所有華盛頓州的選民都可在網站 www.vote.wa.gov 查看中文選民手冊和選民登記表格。

此外,金郡選民也可登記在 每次選舉前自動獲取中文選 票和選民手冊。

如果您或您認識的人需要語言協助,請致電

(800) 448-4881 °

Việt Nam được nói

Tất cả cử tri ở Tiểu Bang Washington có thể truy cập sách dành cho cử tri và đơn ghi danh cử tri bằng tiếng Việt trực tuyến tại www.vote.wa.gov.

Ngoài ra, cử tri ở Quận King có thể đăng ký để tự động nhận lá phiếu và sách dành cho cử tri bằng tiếng Việt trước mỗi cuộc bầu cử.

Nếu quý vị hoặc người nào quý vị biết cần trợ giúp ngôn ngữ, xin vui lòng gọi (800) 448-4881.

The federal Voting Rights Act requires translated elections materials.

ADVISORY VOTES

What's an advisory vote?

Advisory votes are non-binding. The results will **not** change the law.

Repeal or maintain?

You are advising the Legislature to repeal or maintain a tax increase.

Repeal - you *don't favor* the tax increase. **Maintain** - you *favor* the tax increase.

Want more info?

Call the Legislative Hotline at (800) 562-6000.

View the complete text of the bill at www.vote.wa.gov/completetext.

View additional cost information at www.ofm.wa.gov/ballot.



Advisory votes are the result of Initiative 960, approved by voters in 2007.

Advisory Votes 27

Advisory Vote No.

8

Senate Bill 6505

The legislature eliminated, without a vote of the people, agricultural excise tax preferences for various aspects of the marijuana industry, costing an estimated \$24,903,000 in the first ten years, for government spending.

This tax increase should be:

]	Repeal	lec
	Hopean	C

[] Maintained

Ten-Year Cost Projection

Provided by the Office of Financial Management

Senate	Senate Bill 6505 (SB 6505)									
Fiscal Year		B&O Tax		Litter Tax		Public Utility Tax		Retail Sales Tax		Total
2014	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0	\$	0
2015	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$ 1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2016	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2017	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$ 1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2018	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$ 1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2019	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2020	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2021	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$ 1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2022	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$ 1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
2023	\$	767,000	\$ 3	8,000	\$	13,000	\$ 1	,949,000	\$	2,767,000
Total	\$6	,903,000	\$34	2,000	\$1	17,000	\$1	7,541,000	\$2	4,903,000

Final Votes Cast by the Legislature

Senate: Yeas, 47; Nays, 0; Absent, 0; Excused, 2 **House**: Yeas, 55; Nays, 42; Absent, 0; Excused, 1

Advisory Vote No.

9

Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1287

The legislature imposed, without a vote of the people, the leasehold excise tax on certain leasehold interests in tribal property, costing an estimated \$1,298,000 in the first ten years, for government spending.

This tax increase should be:

г	- 1	Re			
	- 1	RO	no.	വിവ	
	- 1	116	UE	all T	HU.

[] Maintained

Ten-Year Cost Projection

Provided by the Office of Financial Management

Engrossed Substitute House Bill 1287 (ESHB 1287)						
Fiscal Year	Leasehold I	Excise Tax				
2014	\$	0				
2015	\$	48,000				
2016	\$	196,000				
2017	\$	198,000				
2018	\$	204,000				
2019	\$	211,000				
2020	\$	217,000				
2021	\$	224,000				
2022	\$	0				
2023	\$	0				
Total	\$	1,298,000				

Final Votes Cast by the Legislature

Senate: Yeas, 37; Nays, 12; Absent, 0; Excused, 0 House: Yeas, 61; Nays, 37; Absent, 0; Excused, 0

Final Votes Cast by Each Legislator

District 1

Sen. Rosemary McAuliffe

(D, Bothell), (360) 786-7600 rosemary.mcauliffe@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Derek Stanford

(D, Bothell), (360) 786-7928 derek.stanford@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Luis Moscoso

(D, MountlakeTerrace), (360) 786-7900 luis.moscoso@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 2

Sen. Randi Becker

(R, Eatonville), (360) 786-7602 randi.becker@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Graham Hunt

(R, Orting), (360) 786-7824 graham.hunt@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. J.T. Wilcox

(R, Yelm), (360) 786-7912 jt.wilcox@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 3

Sen. Andy Billig

(D, Spokane), (360) 786-7604 andy.billig@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Marcus Riccelli

(D, Spokane), (360) 786-7888 marcus.riccelli@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Timm Ormsby

(D, Spokane), (360) 786-7946 timm.ormsby@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea District 4

Sen. Mike Padden

(R, Spokane Valley), (360) 786-7606 mike.padden@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Leonard Christian

(R, Spokane Valley), (360) 786-7820 leonard.christian@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Matt Shea

(R, Spokane Valley), (360) 786-7984 matt.shea@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 5

Sen. Mark Mullet

(D, Issaquah), (360) 786-7608 mark.mullet@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Jay Rodne

(R, Snoqualmie), (360) 786-7852 jay.rodne@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Chad Magendanz

(R, Issaquah), (360) 786-7876 chad.magendanz@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 6

Sen. Michael Baumgartner

(R, Spokane), (360) 786-7610 michael.baumgartner@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Kevin Parker

(R, Spokane), (360) 786-7922 kevin.parker@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Jeff Holy

(R, Cheney), (360) 786-7962 jeff.holy@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay District 7

Sen. Brian Dansel

(R, Republic), (360) 786-7612 brian.dansel@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Shelly Short

(R, Addy), (360) 786-7908 shelly.short@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Joel Kretz

(R, Wauconda), (360) 786-7988 joel.kretz@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 8

Sen. Sharon Brown

(R, Kennewick), (360) 786-7614 sharon.brown@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Brad Klippert

(R, Kennewick), (360) 786-7882 brad.klippert@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Larry Haler

(R, Richland), (360) 786-7986 larry.haler@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 9

Sen. Mark Schoesler

(R, Ritzville), (360) 786-7620 mark.schoesler@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Susan Fagan

(R, Pullman), (360) 786-7942 susan.fagan@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Joe Schmick

(R, Colfax), (360) 786-7844 joe.schmick@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay District 10

Sen. Barbara Bailey

(R, Oak Harbor), (360) 786-7618 barbara.bailey@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Norma Smith

(R, Clinton), (360) 786-7884 norma.smith@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Dave Hayes

(R, Camano Island), (360) 786-7914 dave.hayes@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 11

Sen. Bob Hasegawa

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7616 bob.hasegawa@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Zack Hudgins

(D, Tukwila), (360) 786-7956 zack.hudgins@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Steve Bergquist

(D, Renton), (360) 786-7862 steve.bergquist@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 12

Sen. Linda Evans Parlette

(R, Wenatchee), (360) 786-7622 linda.parlette@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Cary Condotta

(R, East Wenatchee), (360) 786-7954 cary.condotta@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Brad Hawkins

(R, East Wenatchee), (360) 786-7832 brad.hawkins@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay



Advisory Votes 29

District 13

Sen. Janéa Holmquist Newbry (R, Moses Lake), (360) 786-7624 janea.holmquistnewbry@leg.wa.gov

SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Judy Warnick

(R, Moses Lake), (360) 786-7932 judy.warnick@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Matt Manweller

(R, Ellensburg), (360) 786-7808 matt.manweller@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 14

Sen. Curtis King

(R, Yakima), (360) 786-7626 curtis.king@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Norm Johnson

(R, Yakima), (360) 786-7810 norm.johnson@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Charles Ross

(R, Naches), (360) 786-7856 charles.ross@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 15

Sen. Jim Honeyford

(R, Sunnyside), (360) 786-7684 jim.honeyford@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Bruce Chandler

(R, Granger), (360) 786-7960 bruce.chandler@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. David Taylor

(R, Moxee), (360) 786-7874 david.taylor@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay District 16

Sen. Mike Hewitt

(R, Walla Walla), (360) 786-7630 mike.hewitt@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Maureen Walsh

(R, Walla Walla), (360) 786-7836 maureen.walsh@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Terry Nealey

(R, Dayton), (360) 786-7828 terry.nealey@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 17

Sen. Don Benton

(R, Vancouver), (360) 786-7632 don.benton@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Monica Stonier

(D, Vancouver), (360) 786-7994 monica.stonier@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Paul Harris

(R, Vancouver), (360) 786-7976 paul.harris@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 18

Sen. Ann Rivers

(R, La Center), (360) 786-7634 ann.rivers@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Brandon Vick

(R, Vancouver), (360) 786-7850 brandon.vick@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Liz Pike

(R, Camas), (360) 786-7812 liz.pike@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay District 19

Sen. Brian Hatfield

(D, Raymond), (360) 786-7636 brian.hatfield@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nav

Rep. Dean Takko

(D, Longview), (360) 786-7806 dean.takko@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Brian Blake

(D, Aberdeen), (360) 786-7870 brian.blake@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 20

Sen. John Braun

(R, Centralia), (360) 786-7638 john.braun@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Richard DeBolt

(R, Chehalis), (360) 786-7896 richard.debolt@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Ed Orcutt

(R, Kalama), (360) 786-7990 ed.orcutt@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 21

Sen. Marko Liias

(D, Mukilteo), (360) 786-7640 marko.liias@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Mary Helen Roberts

(D, Lynnwood), (360) 786-7950 maryhelen.roberts@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Lillian Ortiz-Self

(D, Mukilteo), (360) 786-7972 lillian.ortiz-self@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea District 22

Sen. Karen Fraser

(D, Olympia), (360) 786-7642 karen.fraser@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Chris Reykdal

(D, Tumwater), (360) 786-7940 chris.reykdal@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Sam Hunt

(D, Olympia), (360) 786-7992 sam.hunt@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 23

Sen. Christine Rolfes

(D, Bainbridge Island), (360) 786-7644 christine.rolfes@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Sherry Appleton

(D, Poulsbo), (360) 786-7934 sherry.appleton@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Drew Hansen

(D, Bainbridge Island), (360) 786-7842 drew.hansen@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 24

Sen. James Hargrove

(D, Hoquiam), (360) 786-7646 jim.hargrove@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Kevin Van De Wege

(D, Sequim), (360) 786-7916 kevin.vandewege@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Steve Tharinger

(D, Sequim), (360) 786-7904 steve.tharinger@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea District 25

Sen. Bruce Dammeier

(R, Puyallup), (360) 786-7648 bruce.dammeier@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Dawn Morrell

(D, Puyallup), (360) 786-7948 dawn.morrell@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Hans Zeiger

(R, Puyallup), (360) 786-7968 hans.zeiger@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 26

Sen. Jan Angel

(R, Port Orchard), (360) 786-7650 jan.angel@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Jesse Young

(R, Gig Harbor), (360) 786-7964 jesse.young@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Larry Seaguist

(D, Gig Harbor), (360) 786-7802 larry.seaquist@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 27

Sen. Jeannie Darneille

(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7652 j.darneille@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Laurie Jinkins

(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7930 laurie.jinkins@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Jake Fey

(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7974 jake.fey@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea District 28

Sen. Steve O'Ban

(R, Tacoma), (360) 786-7654 steve.o'ban@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Dick Muri

(R, Steilacoom), (360) 786-7890 dick.muri@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Tami Green

(D, Lakewood), (360) 786-7958 tami.green@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 29

Sen. Steve Conway

(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7656 steve.conway@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. David Sawyer

(D, Lakewood), (360) 786-7906 david.sawyer@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Steve Kirby

(D, Tacoma), (360) 786-7996 steve.kirby@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 30

Sen. Tracey Eide

(D, Federal Way), (360) 786-7658 tracey.eide@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Excused ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Linda Kochmar

(R, Federal Way), (360) 786-7898 linda.kochmar@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Roger Freeman

(D, Federal Way), (360) 786-7830 roger.freeman@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea District 31

Sen. Pam Roach

(R, Auburn), (360) 786-7660 pam.roach@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Cathy Dahlquist

(R, Enumclaw), (360) 786-7846 cathy.dahlquist@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Christopher Hurst

(D, Enumclaw), (360) 786-7866 christopher.hurst@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 32

Sen. Maralyn Chase

(D, Shoreline), (360) 786-7662 maralyn.chase@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Cindy Ryu

(D, Shoreline), (360) 786-7880 cindy.ryu@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Ruth Kaqi

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7910 ruth.kagi@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 33

Sen. Karen Keiser

(D, Kent), (360) 786-7664 karen.keiser@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Tina Orwall

(D, Des Moines), (360) 786-7834 tina.orwall@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Mia Gregerson

(D, SeaTac), (360) 786-7868 mia.gregerson@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea District 34

Sen. Sharon Nelson

(D, Maury Island), (360) 786-7667 sharon.nelson@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Excused ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Eileen Cody

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7978 eileen.cody@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Joe Fitzgibbon

(D, Burien), (360) 786-7952 joe.fitzgibbon@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 35

Sen. Tim Sheldon

(D, Potlatch), (360) 786-7668 timothy.sheldon@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Kathy Haigh

(D, Shelton), (360) 786-7966 kathy.haigh@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Drew MacEwen

(R, Union), (360) 786-7902 drew.macewen@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 36

Sen. Jeanne Kohl-Welles

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7670 jeanne.kohl-welles@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Reuven Carlyle

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7814 reuven.carlyle@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Gael Tarleton

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7860 gael.tarleton@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea



Advisory Votes 31

District 37

Sen. Adam Kline

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7688 adam.kline@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Sharon Tomiko Santos

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7944 sharontomiko.santos@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Eric Pettigrew

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7838 eric.pettigrew@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 38

Sen. John McCoy

(D,Tulalip), (360) 786-7674 john.mccoy@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. June Robinson

(D, Everett), (360) 786-7864 june.robinson@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Mike Sells

(D, Everett), (360) 786-7840 mike.sells@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 39

Sen. Kirk Pearson

(R, Monroe), (360) 786-7676 kirk.pearson@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Dan Kristiansen

(R, Snohomish), (360) 786-7967 dan.kristiansen@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Elizabeth Scott

(R, Monroe), (360) 786-7816 elizabeth.scott@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay District 40

Sen. Kevin Ranker

(D, Orcas Island), (360) 786-7678 kevin.ranker@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Kristine Lytton

(D, Anacortes), (360) 786-7800 kristine.lytton@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Excused ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Jeff Morris

(D, Mount Vernon), (360) 786-7970 jeff.morris@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 41

Sen. Steve Litzow

(R, Mercer Island), (360) 786-7641 steve.litzow@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Tana Senn

(D, Mercer Island), (360) 786-7894 tana.senn@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Judy Clibborn

(D, Mercer Island), (360) 786-7926 judy.clibborn@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 42

Sen. Doug Ericksen

(R, Ferndale), (360) 786-7682 doug.ericksen@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Jason Overstreet

(R, Lynden), (360) 786-7980 jason.overstreet@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Vincent Buys

(R, Lynden), (360) 786-7854 vincent.buys@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay District 43

Sen. Jamie Pedersen

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7628 jamie.pedersen@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Brady Walkinshaw

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7826 brady.walkinshaw@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Frank Chopp

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7920 frank.chopp@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 44

Sen. Steve Hobbs

(D, Lake Stevens), (360) 786-7686 steve.hobbs@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Hans Dunshee

(D, Snohomish), (360) 786-7804 hans.dunshee@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Mike Hope

(R, Lake Stevens), (360) 786-7892 mike.hope@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

District 45

Sen. Andy Hill

(R, Redmond), (360) 786-7672 andy.hill@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Roger Goodman

(D, Kirkland), (360) 786-7878 roger.goodman@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Larry Springer

(D, Kirkland), (360) 786-7822 larry.springer@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea District 46

Sen. David Frockt

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7690 david.frockt@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Gerry Pollet

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7886 gerry.pollet@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Jessyn Farrell

(D, Seattle), (360) 786-7818 jessyn.farrell@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

District 47

Sen. Joe Fain

(R, Auburn), (360) 786-7692 joe.fain@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Mark Hargrove

(R, Covington), (360) 786-7918 mark.hargrove@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Nay

Rep. Pat Sullivan

(D, Covington), (360) 786-7858 pat.sullivan@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

District 48

Sen. Rodney Tom

(D, Medina), (360) 786-7694 rodney.tom@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8):Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9):Yea

Rep. Ross Hunter

(D, Medina), (360) 786-7936 ross.hunter@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Cyrus Habib

(D, Kirkland), (360) 786-7848 cyrus.habib@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Nay ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea District 49

Sen. Annette Cleveland

(D, Vancouver), (360) 786-7696 annette.cleveland@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Sharon Wylie

(D, Vancouver), (360) 786-7924 sharon.wylie@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea

Rep. Jim Moeller

(D, Vancouver), (360) 786-7872 jim.moeller@leg.wa.gov SB 6505 (AV 8): Yea ESHB 1287 (AV 9): Yea



Political parties

Washington State Democrats

PO Box 4027 Seattle, WA 98194 (206) 583-0664 info@wa-democrats.org www.wa-democrats.org

Washington State Republican Party

11811 NE 1st St, Ste A306 Bellevue, WA 98005 (425) 460-0570 susan@wsrp.org www.wsrp.org

Address confidentiality for crime victims


Keep your voting address confidential

The Address Confidentiality Program can register participants to vote without creating a public record.

To enroll, you must:

- be a victim of domestic violence, sexual assault, trafficking or stalking, or be employed in criminal justice and a target of felony harassment on the job
- have recently moved to a new location that is unknown to the offender and undocumented in public records
- meet with a victim advocate who can assist with threat assessment, safety planning, and the program application

Call (800) 822-1065 or visit www.sos.wa.gov/acp.



Federal Qualifications & Responsibilities

Except for the President and Vice President, all federal officials elected in Washington must be registered voters of the state. Only federal offices have age requirements above and beyond that to be a registered voter.



Congress

The U.S. Senate and House of Representatives have equal responsibility for declaring war, maintaining the armed forces, assessing taxes, borrowing money, minting currency, regulating commerce, and making all laws and budgets necessary for the operation of government.

U.S. Representative

Representatives must be at least 25 years old and citizens of the U.S. for at least seven years. Representatives are not required to be registered voters of their district, but must be registered voters of the state. Representatives serve two-year terms.

The House of Representatives has 435 members, all of whom are up for election in even-numbered years. Each state has a different number of members based on population. After the 2010 Census, Washington was given a 10th Congressional District.



Who donates to campaigns?

View financial contributors for federal candidates:

Federal Election Commission

www.fec.gov Toll Free (800) 424-9530



Candidate statements are printed exactly as submitted. The Office of the Secretary of State does not make corrections of any kind or verify statements for truth or fact.

District 1

Suzan DelBene

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: United States Representative, 2012-Current.

Other Professional Experience: Successful career as businesswoman and entrepreneur. Former Microsoft executive, led local high-tech startups. Former Director of Washington's Department of Revenue, where I led efforts to simplify the tax system and help small businesses.

Education: B.A., Reed College; M.B.A., University of Washington.

Community Service: I've mentored students at UW Business School, been active in my church, serving as a board member. Volunteered with the PTA, Girl Scouts and YWCA, supporting transitional housing, job training and services to help families get back on their feet.

Statement: Growing up, my dad lost his job and our family struggled. But thanks to financial aid, student loans and hard work, I was able to attend college and build a successful career as a businesswoman and entrepreneur.

Today, too many middle-class families are suffering from the same financial instability my family felt. This is why I'm fighting to give everyone the opportunity to succeed.

Results, not rhetoric. In a dysfunctional, hyperpartisan Congress I've worked across party lines to get things done - helping write the Farm Bill to ensure it benefits Washington farmers while reducing the deficit; successfully fighting to roll back the doubling of student loan interest rates; and passing legislation to expand job-training and education programs for food stamp recipients to learn skills and become self-sufficient. When a portion of the I-5 bridge over the Skagit River collapsed last year, I worked to quickly secure emergency funds to rebuild it.

Expanding Economic Opportunity. I'm fighting to raise the federal minimum wage to \$10.10 an hour, extend unemployment insurance to help those looking for work, and I cosponsored legislation to help Main Street businesses compete with out-of-state retailers. I'm working to increase our investments in schools, roads, student aid and job creating research.

Standing up for our shared values. I'm fighting to protect Social Security, Medicare and a woman's right to choose. To protect our privacy, I cosponsored a bill limiting government surveillance programs and ending the bulk collection of our private data. I support reforming our tax system to make it simpler and fairer. To fix our broken immigration system. I sponsored common-sense legislation that will create American jobs, cut the deficit and provide an earned path to citizenship.

Working together, we can build an economy that works for everyone, creates jobs and expands opportunity.

Contact: (425) 483-1500: info@delbeneforcongress.com; www.delbeneforcongress.com

Pedro Celis

(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: None

Other Professional Experience: Former Microsoft Distinguished Engineer, Chief Technical Officer of SQL Division, Former Professor of Computer Science.

Education: BS, Computer Engineering, ITESM (Monterrey Institute of Technology and Higher Studies), Monterrey, Mexico; MS (Mathematics) and PhD (Computer Science), University of Waterloo in Ontario, Canada.

Community Service: Founder/Board Member of Plaza Bank; U.S. President's Information Technology Advisory Committee (PITAC), 2003-2005; Board Member of Stronger Families, a nonprofit organization advocating a healthy environment for marital and family life; Board Member, Washington News Council; Board Member. Washington State Hispanic Chamber of Commerce; Member, Board of Regents, ITESM, his alma mater.

Statement: I am running for Congress because I have lived the American Dream, and I want to preserve it for future generations. Raised in a family of 6 by a single mom, I left Mexico as an adult with one bag of clothes and a box of books.

I came legally to the United States, I followed the rules, and became a naturalized citizen in 1993. I am an American by choice, and retired as a Microsoft Distinguished Engineer in 2012. Today, I see the American Dream under threat. Opportunity is diminishing and the American Dream is fading. Climbing the economic ladder has become harder, and our personal freedoms are threatened.

Government is part of the problem.

I will work to protect our freedoms, to encourage innovation and to promote economic growth. It is vital that we balance our budget to pave the way for a new prosperity and a rising American middle class. We must also remove obstacles that are keeping our economy locked in place... the senseless regulations, the crushing weight of new mandates.

We must replace Obamacare with a health care system that promotes personal choice and protects patients' rights, while helping the most vulnerable among us. There is a better way. We need leaders who have tackled hard, complicated problems and found solutions, leaders who can work with people of diverse views, who have compassion, who have touched hard times, and who know how to help others rise and succeed.

I will put my experience to work, helping to redirect Congress, and to fix what's not working in the other Washington. I will vote, consistently, to promote opportunity, protect individual liberty, and get our nation's finances under control. If you agree with those priorities, I ask you to Vote for Pedro.

Contact: (425) 212-1043; info@pedroforcongress.com; www.pedroforcongress.com



District 8

Dave Reichert

(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: King County Sheriff, 1998-2005; US House of Representatives, 2005-Current

Other Professional Experience: Dave served in the US Air Force Reserve (1971-1976). Joined the King County Sheriff's Office in 1972. In 1998 became King County's first elected sheriff in 30 years

Education: Concordia Lutheran College, AA

Community Service: Served on King County's Domestic Violence Council. Board of Directors for Special Olympics of Washington. Co-Chair of King County Methamphetamine Coalition. Former Member of King County Committee to End Homelessness. Co-Founder of King County Sheriff's Police Activities League. Youth coach and counselor. Two time Washington Medal of Valor Recipient. National Sheriff of the Year

Statement: Leadership. As a public servant, my energy is focused on spurring our economy, upholding our obligations to our veterans, ensuring our children have access to good schools, protecting our freedom to access guality doctors, reforming our broken immigration system and defending the vulnerable. I will continue working to reform our tax code, cut spending, and reduce regulations in an effort to enhance private sector innovation and create jobs.

Integrity. In tough times, it becomes all too easy to serve personal interests, forget the needs of neighbors, and toss integrity aside. I have always served our community, our state, and our nation with an optimistic spirit. I believe our best days are ahead, and America will continue to be the greatest nation, because we never surrender and we always pull together. We are free and must fight to remain free for our children and grandchildren.

Service. From the first day I put on my Air Force uniform until today, I have committed myself to service. As a sheriff's deputy, I saw the most humbling acts of service and selfless sacrifice. I have a servant's heart and want to make America even better for future generations. I hope to earn your trust by serving with honesty and representing you with integrity.

Teamwork. I'm a pragmatic leader, believing it's more important to solve problems than claim credit or be trapped in partisanship. Whether running a small business, nursing the sick, tending an orchard, raising a family, or serving in the military, everyone wonders why our problems persist. America is driven by innovation, inspired by freedom, and determined to make the world a safer and better place. With leadership founded on integrity, humble service, and teamwork, we will put these tough days behind us and turn our energy toward future opportunities.

Contact: (425) 455-3283; info@davereichert.com; www.davereichert.com

Jason Ritchie

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: None

Other Professional Experience: Owner of Handi Habitats Accessible Construction. Former Adjunct Professor.

Education: BA History/Political Science; University of Michigan; Masters in History, Eastern Michigan University

Community Service: Volunteer, Issaquah School District

Statement: Congress is failing too many Washingtonians. I decided to run for office because partisan bickering shut down the government and harmed thousands of small businesses, including my own. This isn't the America I want my sons to grow up in.

I started my business, Handi Habitats, as a labor of love after a dark time for my family. When my father had a stroke that limited his movement, I jumped in to help by reconstructing his house for accessibility. Realizing how important this need was, I wanted to do more. Since then, I have helped thousands of injured workers, veterans and seniors live at home safely.

I've never run for political office and I have no plans to be a career politician. I'm running because I want to give back and I believe the people of the 8th District need common sense leadership in Congress. We don't have to settle for gridlock. We must focus on the basics: creating jobs, promoting economic fairness and ensuring government works for its people.

When our congressman voted against ensuring women get equal pay, he betrayed working women in our district. When he refused to stand up to his party to pass immigration reform, he forgot America is still the land of opportunity. When he voted for wiretapping without a warrant, he voted against our freedom and our privacy.

I believe my family and my small business deserve better from our federal government. I believe your family deserves better, too. Together, we can work toward a government that focuses on common sense and practical results. To end the gridlock in Congress, I need your vote.

I'm honored to have the endorsements of Boeing machinists, local grocery workers, community leaders like Senator Mark Mullet, Rep. Tana Senn, and many more.

Contact: (425) 324-6888; jason@ritchieforcongress.com; www.ritchieforcongress.com/



Adam Smith

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: United States Representative, 1997-Current; Washington State Senator, 1991-1997.

Other Professional Experience: Prosecutor, City of Seattle, 1993-1995; Attorney, Cromwell, Mendoza and Belur.

Education: J.D., University of Washington, 1990; B.A., Fordham University, 1987, Tyee High School, 1983.

Community Service: member, Cougar Ridge PTA; member, Issaguah Middle School PTA; member, Borgen Project Board of Directors; attends St. Margaret's Episcopal Church in Bellevue; former volunteer coach, Issaguah Soccer Club; former member, Northeast Tacoma Elementary PTA where my wife, Sara, served as PTA President for 2 years; former member, Federal Way Kiwanis Club.

Statement: I grew up in the city of SeaTac and have lived my entire life in the 9th District. My father worked as a ramp serviceman, my mother a homemaker. This district is a tremendously diverse community that values hard work, and I am very proud to represent it. My wife Sara and I are now raising our two children, Kendall, and Jack, in the 9th District where they attend public schools. As a Prosecutor, State Senator, Congressman and member of our community I have followed the values my parents taught--integrity, hard work, and respect for your community.

Working class families, like the one I grew up in, do not have the same opportunities as previous generations. This must change. We need a fairer tax code, strong education system and job training opportunities, improved transportation infrastructure, a secure social safety net for our seniors. We need to focus on the fundamentals: more Americans back to work, better use of our tax dollars and equal opportunity for all.

I have served on the Armed Services Committee for my entire time in Congress and have always worked hard to make sure that our servicemen, women and their families receive the support they need and deserve. I will continue to do so and also believe that it's time for us to bring our troops home from Afghanistan as soon as we responsibly can.

I remain absolutely committed to working hard to find solutions to the challenges that we still face. We must grow from the middle out, get people back to work and ensure there are more living wage jobs available. We must focus on creating innovative opportunities, better worker education and small business growth.

It is an honor to serve this district in Congress, and I ask for your vote.

Contact: (253) 572-6125; info@electadamsmith.com; www.electadamsmith.com

Doug Basler

(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: Twice elected PCO for my precinct. I have also worked with numerous candidates and political organizations creating marketing and advertising materials, and placing media purchases.

Other Professional Experience: Founder and President of the Broadcast Professionals Group Inc. and EZTVSpots. com, a small business in Kent WA that produces and places TV commercials and other video content. Award winning TV producer. TV and Radio personality. Professional musician. Former Management Trainer for CAR-TOYS, Youth Pastor

Education: Graduated Central Valley HS, Veradale WA. Attended Multnomah Bible College, Various technical classes in video and audio production

Community Service: Volunteer with the Rescue Mission, youth leader at various churches.

Statement: Born and raised in Washington State, and married to the love of my life for over 30 years. I have five fantastic children, My two oldest daughters graduated from UW, my son works in the technology industry and my two youngest daughters attend elementary and high school in Kent. We love living in Washington and enjoy the many cultural, recreational and educational benefits of our area.

I am excited for the opportunity to be your representative to the US Congress, I am proud of Washington's leading position at the important crossroads of commerce, industry and transportation. Here in Western Washington we enjoy the intersection of two of our nation's most important freeways, I5 and I90, the SeaTac International Airport and the bustling ports of Seattle and Tacoma, which makes us a critical region for the entire United States and the gateway to the Pacific Rim. Our manufacturing, technology and commerce sectors are the envy of the world and a powerful economic engine.

As your representative in Washington D.C., I am committed to work hard to protect the jobs and prosperity of all our citizens by protecting the strong local and regional economy that we enjoy. I am looking forward to working with both public and private sector interests so that our economy remains vibrant and continues to be a national leader in job creation, education and advancement.

I am extremely proud of our military bases, personnel and veterans, and I am saddened by the recent revelations of shortcomings in our VA medical system. I will make it my mission to right the wrongs and restore trust and accountability so that our veterans and wounded warriors receive the world class care they have been promised and deserve.

I look forward to working together with you for a better future.

Contact: (877) 427-6534; candidate@dougbasler.com; dougbasler.com





Legislative Qualifications & Responsibilities

Legislators must be registered voters of their district.

Legislature

Legislators propose and enact public policy, set a budget, and provide for the collection of taxes to support state and local government.

State Senator

The Senate has 49 members; one from each legislative district in the state. Senators are elected to four-year terms, and approximately one-half the membership of the Senate is up for election each even-numbered year. The Senate's only exclusive duty is to confirm appointments made by the governor.

State Representative

The House of Representatives has 98 members; two from each legislative district in the state. Representatives are elected to two-year terms, so the total membership of the House is up for election each even-numbered year.



Candidate statements are printed exactly as submitted. The Office of the Secretary of State does not make corrections of any kind or verify statements for truth or fact.





Elected Experience: State Representative; currently serving on Capital Budget Committee (vice chair), Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee, and Business and Financial Services Committee

Other Professional Experience: Former Director of Analytics at a local telecommunications company; small business owner, specializing in data science and statistical computing

Education: PhD in Statistics from the University of Washington

Community Service: Northshore Kiwanis, member of Bothell Chamber of Commerce

Statement: By working together, we can improve schools, make communities safer, and promote living wage jobs. Let's keep focus on education to create opportunities for our children and to keep our economy growing.

As the only statistician in the legislature, I work with other legislators to ensure policies are based on science and decisions are based on facts. I've worked for new investments in schools and colleges, including the science building at UW Bothell. I'm proud of my work to make our budget more sustainable. I'll continue to reject divisive politics, staying focused on issues that affect our families.

Contact: (425) 481-6231; derekstanford2014@gmail.com; www.DerekStanford.com

Mark Davies (Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: President Lockwood Elementary Student Council 1967/68

Other Professional Experience: IT Programmer/Analyst/Project Manager 27 years, (24 years with Boeing). Former Small Business Owner and Journeyman Log House Builder. In his youth, Mark worked as a berry picker, baby-sitter, newspaper boy, waiter, caterer, house painter, shipping and receiving clerk, small engine and auto mechanic, chimney sweep, hod carrier, and grave digger.

Education: Northshore Schools, Brigham Young University **Community Service:** 20 years service as adult leader in Boy Scouts, lifelong church service to youth and adults, volunteered with Habitat for Humanity, and frequent blood and platelets donor.

Statement: Mark Davies is a conservative Republican, 4th generation Washington State and lifelong 1st District resident, happily married 32 years, with 10 children, and 15 grandchildren. Mark will work to bring our state's fiscal house in order, cut regulatory burdens on business, return local control to our schools, reduce traffic congestion, and protect public safety. Strict performance audits of all state agencies and adherence to their recommendations are imperative. Our HighTech, Manufacturing, and Agriculture Industries in Washington must be preserved. As Washingtonians we need to rely on ourselves and God first, our families second, charities third, and government last!

Contact: (425) 770-5279; Mark2Olympia@gmail.com; www.Mark2Olympia.info



(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: Elected in 2010, Luis has served as Vice Chair of the House Transportation Committee. securing funding for many projects including the Bothell Crossroads Project. He also serves on the Government Accountability and Oversight Committee and Public Safety Committee, leading efforts to protect communities by reducing crime and gang violence.

Other Professional Experience: Organizational Improvement Specialist, Community Transit; Government Relations Director, Washington State Public Employees Association.

Education: Archaeology, University of Iowa ('76), B.A., University of Iowa ('74).

Community Service: Mountlake Terrace Community Policing Advisory Board; Transportation Policy Board, Puget Sound Regional Council; Community Leadership Team, United Way, Snohomish County; Board Director, Neutral Zone

Statement: As State Representative, I have worked hard to grow jobs and help local communities thrive. I also worked to make much-needed transportation improvements in our district and across the state. I fought for increased transparency, accountability and reforms in state government. I worked to expand higher education funding, specifically for UW Bothell, and I will continue to fight to fully fund K-12 education. I'm proud to have led the Legislature's effort to reduce gang violence. We have more work ahead and that is why I'm running for another term. I would be honored to earn your vote.

Contact: (206) 200-8663; lucho4@comcast.net; www.voteluis.com





Elected Experience: City of Mill Creek Planning Commission 2001-2006

Other Professional Experience: I have 20 years of business and management experience, including a proven ability to work with diverse and global stakeholders from many backgrounds and team members at all levels of experience to come to common sense and cost effective solutions to real world problems. I hold a CPA license in Washington. I put myself through college on an ROTC scholarship, serving as an Engineer Officer while assisting our communities in the National Guard.

Education: JD - Northwestern California MBA - Syracuse University BBA - University of Notre Dame

Community Service: Eagle Scout

Statement: I believe we need common sense leadership in Olympia. That means less unnecessary government involvement in your life and more focus on four critical things: (1) providing effective education for our youth that embraces their diversity of needs, cultures and families; (2) providing for the protection of your person, property and constitutional rights through effective public safety and education; (3) providing for safe infrastructure, like roads; and, (4) providing an effective and compassionate safety net for the most needy. Imagine what Washington could accomplish if we eliminate government waste and excess and focus on excellence in these four things.

Contact: (206) 550-6442; admin@bartonforwa.com; www.bartonforwa.com

Jay Rodne(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: State Representative, Washington House of Representatives (2004-present); Snoqualmie City Council (2001-2004)

Other Professional Experience: General Counsel, King County Public Hospital District No. 4; Colonel, U.S. Marine Corps Reserve (1990-present)

Education: Masters in Health Administration, University of Washington (2012); J.D. cum laude, Gonzaga Law School (1997); B.A., Creighton University (1988)

Community Service: Jay is very active in numerous community service organizations including: Snoqualmie Sister Cities Association; Snoqualmie Valley Rotary; American Legion Post #0079; Veterans of Foreign Wars Post No. 137; Member, Our Lady of Sorrows Catholic Parish; Volunteer Attorney, King County Bar Association Neighborhood Legal Clinic Program (1999-2004)

Statement: As your representative, I will work for common sense policies that create jobs and reduce taxes on families and small businesses. We must demand that Olympia live within its means and prioritize the fundamentals such as making our children's education the state's top priority, reducing regulatory burdens on businesses to create private-sector job growth, and making smart investments in our transportation infrastructure to reduce congestion and improve commute times.

We must rise above partisan politics to effectively address the challenges that lie ahead. Please join me in demanding a new direction. Together, we will build a better Washington!

Contact: (425) 890-3336; jay_rodne@comcast.net; www.votejayrodne.com

Essie Hicks(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: No information submitted

Other Professional Experience: Former small business owner; Educator, Alexandar School of Natural Therapeutics

Education: Masters in Public Administration, Seattle University; Bachelor's Degree, Magna Cum Laude, Washington State University; Public Issues and Conflict Management Certification, Washington State Department of Ecology

Community Service: Planning Commissioner, City of Maple Valley; Legislative Liaison, Issaquah Valley Elementary School PTA; Board Member, Maple Valley Farmers Market; Communications Director, American Massage Therapy Association of Washington; Member, Issaquah, Snoqualmie & Maple Valley Chambers of Commerce; Member, Women's Business Exchange; Volunteer, Issaquah Schools; Volunteer, Vine Maple Place for homeless families in Maple Valley; Educator, American Red Cross; Member, American Society of Public Administrators

Statement: I believe my experience as a business owner, my long history in service to my community, and as a mother of children in Issaquah Schools, I am ready to advocate for you effectively in Olympia. As your Representative, I will work every day to fully fund education, deliver transportation solutions that work for our region, and preserve our unique natural resources. I would be honored to have your support.

Endorsed: WA Conservation Voters, WA State Labor Council, Aerospace Machinists, 5th District Democrats, State Senator Mark Mullet, Representative Ross Hunter, Issaquah Councilmembers Tola Marts and Josh Schaer, environmental leader Micah McCarty

Contact: (425) 310-2441; rep.hicks@yahoo.com; www.EssieHicks.com

Chad Magendanz

(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: Assistant Floor Leader, House Education Committee (Ranking Member), Higher Education Committee, Technology & Economic Development Committee, Quality Education Council, Career Education Opportunities Task Force, Online Learning Advisory Committee, Past President of Issaquah School Board

Other Professional Experience: U.S. Navy submarine officer (1985-97), Microsoft manager (1994-2004) for 16 products with over 20 patent awards, software design consultant (2004-)

Education: Electrical Engineering degree from Cornell University, Navy Nuclear Power School, Jennifer Dunn Leadership Institute

Community Service: PTA Outstanding Advocate, Stand for Children Team Leader, Kiwanis Club of Issaquah, Safe Roads for Issaquah, Eastside Transportation Association, American Legion, married 25 years with 2 teenage boys

Statement: When elected to this office, I arrived with a commitment to support family-wage jobs, promote fiscal responsibility, encourage a world-class education system and stand up for constitutional rights and civil liberties. I have consistently followed through on those priorities, always putting our kids first, working collaboratively across party lines, and balancing the state budget without burdensome tax increases. *Let's continue this important work!*

Rated "outstanding" by Municipal League of King County and Citizens Alliance for Property Rights. Endorsed by: Seattle Times (7/12), Issaquah Press (10/12), Stand for Children, League of Education Voters, Seattle KingCounty Realtors

Contact: (425) 395-4895; info@magendanz.com; www.Vote4Chad.com

David Spring(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: Legislative Chairperson, Opstad Elementary School PTA.

Other Professional Experience: Instructor, Bellevue College. Researcher, University of Washington. Successful Small Business Owner and Manager. Director, Fair School Funding Coalition, a non-profit, non-partisan educational organization dedicated to restoring school funding in Washington State.

Education: Washington State University: Bachelor of Science Education, University of Washington: Masters Degree in Education.

Community Service: After college, David served as a VISTA volunteer starting an alternative public school for at-risk youth. He also served with King County Search and Rescue and on King County and Washington State Planning Commissions. David is a parent, a homeowner and a leader of the Washington Public Bank Coalition.

Statement: Our children attend among the lowest funded most overcrowded schools in America. Yet despite being ordered to restore school funding by our Supreme Court, my opponent failed to draft a single bill to restore school funding.

Instead, Chad voted to give another \$8.7 billion to Boeing – one of the richest corporations in the world. It would not hurt Boeing to pay their fair share – because they could deduct their State taxes from their federal taxes. But robbing from our schools hurts our kids. I ask for your vote so we can roll back corporate tax breaks and restore school funding.

Contact: (425) 876-9149; springforschools@aol.com; springforhouse.org

Dan Kristiansen

(Prefers Republican Party)



Unopposed

Elected Experience: Dan Kristiansen has served in the Washington House of Representatives since 2003.

Other Professional Experience: Working nearly 30 years in the private sector, Dan has extensive experience with company budgets, fiscal planning, employee management, and project coordination dealing with government agencies as a small business manager and owner.

Education: Graduate of Shorecrest High School; attended Shoreline Community College.

Community Service: Dan has received many awards from local Chambers of Commerce, fire commissioners, Farm Bureau, Veterans, and the disabled community. Dan and his wife have three grown children. They have lived in the Snohomish/Monroe area 27+ years.

Statement: I believe in the ideals that make this country exceptional: limited government, balanced budgets, private property rights, personal control in health care, quality education, strong transportation infrastructure and the principle that government serves the people --people do not serve the government.

Let's make Washington the best place to live, work and do business. That means improving the permitting process, a simpler tax structure with low taxes, reducing the costs of health care and insurance, cutting excessive regulations and fostering private sector job creation. I ask for your support for a better future for our families and our state.

Contact: (360) 563-0507; dk39@clearwire.net; www.dankristiansen.org

Elizabeth Scott

(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: State Representative since 2013

Other Professional Experience: Consultant, Research Analyst, farmed 80 acres of 4th-generation family farm, taught college English as Second Language (Edmonds CC, North Seattle, Cascadia, Lake Washington; Illinois, China, United Arab Emirates), tutored K-12, volunteered YWCA, judged high school Speech and Debate tournaments.

Education: B.A. Seattle Pacific University; M.A. Southern Illinois University

Community Service: Small Business Guardian award, NFIB. Successful legislation: volunteer firefighters' death benefits; simplified daycare regulation. Keynote speaker/survivor, Domestic Violence Services Snohomish County. Member NRA (Life), Farm Bureau, Citizens' Alliance for Property Rights, church. Wife, mother; Snohomish County resident 14 years, Washington 19 years.

Statement: Imagine our Legislature bringing back jobs by lowering taxes and decreasing regulations, spending tax dollars cautiously, and funding State Constitutional priorities first: education, public safety, and caring for seniors and the most vulnerable. That's what I fight for: common sense solutions to restore freedom, opportunity, educational options, student data privacy, personal privacy, and your right to protect family and property. Thanks for your vote!

Endorsements: National Federation of Independent Businesses (NFIB), Val Stevens, Ken Klein, Pam Fritchman, Gloria Hulst, Geno Cuevas, Pete Espinoza, Bill and Julie Martinoli, Ed and Roxanne Husmann, Pat Macken, Christian Burns. Courage we can trust!

Contact: (206) 303-9489; elizabeth@elizabeth4state.com; www.elizabeth4state.com





Elected Experience: This is my first run for public office.

Other Professional Experience: United States Air Force: Washington Air National Guard; Concrete High School Teacher; Sedro-Woolley High School Teacher; State Street High School at Job Corps Teacher; Sedro-Woolley Education Association Executive Board; SWEA Building Representative; WEA Representative Assembly

Education: Masters of Education, City University, Renton, WA; Bachelor's of Education, Western Washington University, Bellingham, WA; Liberal Arts, Spokane Falls Community College, Spokane, WA; Sumner Senior High School

Community Service: American Legion Post 43; Job Corps Achievement Ceremonies; Job Corps Recreation Activities; Cub Scouts; Coach of Little League Soccer, Baseball, and Basketball

Statement: I served in the United States Air Force and Washington Air National Guard, became a teacher, raised a family, and currently work with at-risk youth in Sedro-Woolley. I've lived in this area for 18 years, belong to the American Legion, own guns, and have deep ties to our community. We need sustainable, wellpaying jobs and improvements to US 2 and Highway 9. I will provide strong, fair representation in Olympia. I pledge to put our community above partisanship with every vote. I will listen to every side and consider every perspective. I would appreciate your vote.

Contact: (360) 630-9562; charlieforrep@gmail.com; electcharlesjensen.com

Tana Senn (Prefers Democratic Party)



Bill Stinson (Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: State Representative 41st Legislative District, appointed by unanimous vote of the King County Council in 2013. Mercer Island City Councilmember.

Other Professional Experience: Twenty years experience in communications and government relations for nonprofits and foundations.

Education: Master of Public Policy and Public Administration from Columbia University, Bachelor of Arts in Education from Washington University in St. Louis.

Community Service: Served on the boards of the Island Park Elementary PTA, Mercer Island Youth and Family Services Foundation, Jewish Federation of Greater Seattle, and National Breast Cancer Coalition. Volunteered on fire station and school bond campaigns.

Statement: There aren't enough legislators in Olympia who understand the challenges facing families. With two kids in public schools, I know firsthand the importance of a high quality education. At the same time, we need to get people out of traffic and home with their families. Let's end the gridlock in Olympia to end the gridlock on our roads.

We can move forward while balancing the budget and protecting taxpayers. I've been endorsed by six local mayors, Children's Campaign Fund, NARAL, Washington Conservation Voters, and many others. I would be honored to continue representing you and your family in Olympia.

Contact: (206) 369-1253; Tana@ElectTanaSenn.org; www.electtanasenn.org

Elected Experience: 2012 41st Legislative District GOP Caucus Delegate: ASUW Senate – ASUW Senate Liaison to Special Appropriations Committee.

Other Professional Experience: GLF Marketing - Office Administrator; Students For Liberty – Campus Coordinator.

Education: Bellevue Senior High School; Studied at University of Washington.

Community Service: Boys and Girls Club Volunteer with Phi Kappa Psi.

Statement: I have lived and worked in the 41st District for over 20 years and hold a vested interest in the future and quality of life for my community. As a Millennial Republican I have a duty to ensure my generation's economic future with the principles of fiscal responsibility and increased personal liberty.

Legislators have an opportunity to enhance political decision-making with technology. I look forward to communicating with you in the coming months and years as we make engaged policy decisions together to solve the issues that matter; transportation, education and the continued growth of our local economy.

Contact: (425) 590-7226; info@billstinson.com; billstinson.com

Judy Clibborn

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: State Representative for 41st District, 2002-present. Member/Chair, Suburban Cities Association 1995-2001. Mayor, City of Mercer Island, 1994-2000. Councilmember, City of Mercer Island, 1990-2001.

Other Professional Experience: Former Executive Director of Mercer Island Chamber of Commerce. Former Harborview nurse. Mother and Grandmother.

Education: BS in Nursing from University of Washington.

Community Service: Rotary International, Mercer Island, 2003-present. Member/President, Suburban Cities Association, 1994-2000. Member, Growth Management Planning Council, 1998-2000. Member/Chair, Human Service Roundtable, 1994-2000. Member/Chair, Arts Council, Mercer Island, 1984-1986. Member/Chair, Park Board, Mercer Island, 1980-1986.

Statement: Judy Clibborn brings a needed focus on results to her legislative leadership. Judy rejects Olympia gridlock - she led House passage of a balanced, bipartisan transportation package. We need Judy to continue forcing action to invest in our highways and surface streets, improve safety, fund transit, and create jobs.

Judy began her career as a nurse, and still makes health care access and affordability a priority. A mother and grandmother committed to opportunity for every child, she supports full funding of our schools, expanded Pre-K, and keeping college affordable. Let's keep Judy working for Us!

Contact: (206) 232-8941; jclibborn@comcast.net; www.judyclibborn.com



No photo submitted

No information submitted

Contact: (912) 308-6266; b22u@yahoo.com

Andy Hill(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: State Senate (elected 2010)

Other Professional Experience: Microsoft: Group Manager, Program Manager, Windows Division. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center: research assistant.

Education: MBA, Harvard Business School. BA, Colgate University, cum laude, High Honors in Physics. Additional concentration in Computer Science/Mathematics.

Community Service: Emily Dickinson Elementary: PTSA President, Tutor, Mentor. Coach: Crossfire Soccer, East-side Eagles Girls Lacrosse. Awards: Children's Alliance Champion for Children; Mountains to Sound Greenway Trust Champion; Guardian of Small Business; ARC of King County Parent Coalition Budget Champion; Boards: Lake Washington Youth Soccer (Past President); Evergreen Healthcare Foundation; Washington Wildlife & Recreation Coalition; Hopelink Capital Campaign Advisory Board

Statement: When I first ran for this office, I promised to listen to you, lead on reforms and work to change Olympia's priorities. And I've kept my promise to you.

I'm a PTSA parent and youth soccer coach with deep roots in our community. As a cancer survivor, I am committed to making a difference. I'm endorsed by Democrats and Republicans because I believe in bipartisan solutions not partisan bickering.

As Senate Budget Chair, I turned a \$1 billion deficit into a balanced budget with \$1 billion in reserve, no new general taxes and closed unfair loopholes. It passed with an overwhelming bipartisan majority. My budget reversed decades of neglect in school funding, added \$1 billion in new education spending and froze college tuition, ending 30 years of spiraling increases.

I pioneered innovative ways to help those in need. My Vulnerable Individuals Priority Act helps thousands without new taxes. I secured increased funding for women's cancer screening and treatment and helped build athletic fields for kids in Redmond, Woodinville and Kirkland. With your support, I'll keep working to give students the 21st century schools they deserve, hold bureaucrats accountable for waste and fraud and make college more affordable for families.

Contact: (425) 577-7269; Andy@HillYes.com; HillYes.com

Matt Isenhower

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: After years of public service on the Eastside and serving as a Lieutenant in the US Navy, I am a first time candidate for public office.

Other Professional Experience: Amazon.com: Senior Product Manager. Burger King Corporation: Senior Director, Operations. United States Naval Officer: Deployed to the Horn of Africa and South America, and stationed at the Pentagon's National Military Command Center.

Education: MBA, Harvard Business School. BS, United States Naval Academy. Redmond High School.

Community Service: Lake Washington Schools Foundation Trustee and Horace Mann Elementary PTSA Ambassador, King County Veterans Program Advisory Board Member, active volunteer at Sammamish Hills Lutheran Church.

Statement: I'm running to bring positive, new leadership to a State Senate mired in partisan gridlock and finger pointing. The price of inaction has been high: our schools are overcrowded, we are stuck in traffic and our economy is held back from its full potential. *Our families and small businesses deserve better.* My career is built on two things we need desperately in Olympia: courage and results. Whether in the Navy or the private sector, my specialty has been tackling tough challenges with fresh solutions – and working with people of diverse opinions to achieve results. I want to provide you with more effective leadership to solve our state's challenges.

As your senator, I'll be a passionate voice for great schools and higher education - no more excuses and budget gimmicks. I'll take action on fixing our transportation woes - our economy and quality of life are at stake. And I will fight to restore fiscal responsibility in state budgets, putting the people's will ahead of political party. I would be honored to have your vote. Endorsed by Executive Dow Constantine, Sheriff John Urquhart, city council members from Kirkland, Redmond, Woodinville, and Sammamish, firefighters, teachers, Washington Conservation Voters and Planned Parenthood.

Contact: (425) 522-2494; matt@voteisenhower.com; www.Votelsenhower.com

Roger Goodman

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: State Representative, 45th District, 2006 - 2014; Chair, House Public Safety Committee; Judiciary Committee; Early Learning & Human Services Committee.

Other Professional Experience: King County Bar Association, 2000-08; Director, Washington State Sentencing Guidelines Commission; Washington State Law and Justice Advisory Council; Chief of Staff, Legislative Director, US Congressmen Bob Wise (WV) and Rick Boucher (VA), 1988-1995.

Education: Dartmouth College, AB; George Washington University, JD; Harvard University, MPA.

Community Service: Hopelink, Board of Directors; President, Kirkland's Lakeview Neighborhood Association; Rotary Club; King County Substance Abuse Administrative Board. MADD National Legislator of the Year. Roger is a father of two wonderful young children, and lives in Kirkland.

Statement: Roger Goodman puts Eastside families first. Roger worked with Republicans and Democrats to pass balanced budgets that demanded accountability, and avoided cuts to education and programs for seniors and veterans. He closed tax loopholes for Wall Street banks and sponsored legislation ending tax breaks for big oil companies to fund early learning programs.

A devoted father and public safety leader, Roger passed nationally acclaimed DUI laws, and landmark bills to protect foster children and domestic violence victims. Roger knows a strong economy requires smart investments—from higher education to the 520 bridge. Roger Goodman: a commonsense leader who listens.

Contact: (425) 283-9344; roger@rogergoodman.org; rogergoodman.org





Elected Experience: Past President, Lake Washington Youth Soccer Association; Past School Board President, Bellevue Christian Schools

Other Professional Experience: 25+ years leading both small businesses and international companies. Currently President of Tailwind Capital, a global aircraft leasing, marketing and management firm

Education: MBA, University of Washington; BA, Seattle Pacific University

Community Service: As *LWYSA* President, serving 6,000+ youth soccer players, led reorganization and worked to develop new athletic fields and return land to organic farming. Board President of *Bellevue Christian Schools*, educating over 1,200 pre-K to grade 12 students. *BSA* Troop 423 Committee Chair, Friends of Scouting volunteer, youth sports coach and math tutor.

Statement: As a community leader and successful business person, I've seen firsthand the great things we can do when we work together. If elected, fully funding education will be my top priority. During his time in office, my opponent has cut school funding and increased college tuition for families. Last year my opponent supported \$1.3 billion in new taxes with no spending restraint. I'll represent the interests of voters and families, not entrenched special interests, by holding down college tuition and supporting budget reforms. We need balanced solutions and independent leadership to solve our problems. I'd appreciate your vote.

Contact: (425) 658-3998; info@joelhussey.com; www.joelhussey.com

Larry Springer

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: Larry served 11 years on the Kirkland City Council, 4 years as Mayor before serving 5 terms in the State House of Representatives.

Other Professional Experience: Owner/ Operator of The Grape Choice wine shop in Kirkland--29 years. Larry taught elementary school before starting his own business.

Education: Bellevue High School, BA-Western Washington State College, Master of Science Degree-University of Oregon.

Community Service: Board Member of Hopelink, founding member of Leadership Eastside. Past Board Member of the Seattle-King County Economic Development Council, Eastside Transportation Partnership, Puget Sound Regional Council, Kirkland Performance Center and Chair of the Suburban Cities Association.

Statement: A 40 year small business owner, former Kirkland Mayor and teacher, Larry Springer is a straight talking, independent voice for our families and communities. Larry demanded a responsible, balanced budget that significantly increased spending for K-12 and higher education. Larry worked to close tax loopholes, reform government services to increase efficiency, and ease the burden on small businesses and families. Larry always places getting results above partisan politics. He is committed to finishing the 520 bridge, a safety net for the vulnerable, secure public safety, education funding, and always looks to improve our economy. Re-Elect Larry Springer.

Contact: (425) 822-2907; larry@larryspringer.org; www.larryspringer.org

Brendan Woodward

(Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: Never run for public office

Other Professional Experience: Lieutenant, United States Marine Corps (reserves); Entrepreneur and small business owner Wetland Bank Project Manager

Education: BA., International Relations, Magna Cum Laude - Wheaton College, IL; Marine Corps Officer Candidate School, The Basic School, Communications Officer Course

Community Service: Church youth leader Eagle Scout

Statement: Larry Springer is a good man and dedicated public servant. He used to represent us as a centrist crossing the party line to get things done, but that's no longer the case. Olympia has changed him. Larry's recent votes have made government bigger but not better. After 10 years of serving this district, it's time for a change. Please switch your vote to allow a new generation to serve.

I care about funding education first instead of last in the state budget. I care about conserving our beautiful environment. I care about reducing commute times for Eastside drivers.

Contact: (425) 780-6244; brendan@brendanwoodward.com; www.brendanwoodward.com

David Frockt

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Van Sperry (Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: Washington State House of Representatives 2011; Washington State Senate 2012-2014; Elected Delegate, Democratic National Convention 2000.

Other Professional Experience: Legislative Aide U.S. House of Representatives; Founding Attorney, Bergman, Draper & Ladenburg PLLC; Associate Attorney, Riddell Williams P.S.; Marketing-Public Affairs, WCT Communications; Research Assistant, University of California, San Francisco, School of Public Health.

Education: University of Pennsylvania, BA, 1991; UCLA School of Law, JD, 1998.

Community Service: Joint Task Force On Education Funding; Legislative Advisory Committee on Advanced Tuition Payment (GET); Former Board Member Puget Soundkeeper Alliance

Statement: This fall, hundreds of Seattle public school students will attend the newly renovated John Marshall school near Greenlake. I led our Seattle delegation in securing critical funds to help renovate this school to relieve north end overcrowding. I will continue to work for our district's public schools, Kenmore's transportation priorities and Lake Forest Park's flood control efforts. This is because being a progressive legislator means fighting for local needs *and* standing up for marriage equality, reproductive rights, the homeless, foreclosure fairness, health care, public transit, stronger DUI laws, tax reform and firearm background checks.

It also means insuring that state government and transportation projects are efficient and accountable to tax-payers. Being effective requires working across the aisle to find solutions to promote our tech and life sciences industries, to champion higher education funding and to meet our K-12 education obligations. I am proud of my record of passing progressive legislation with bi-partisan support and of my 95% pro-environment voting score.

Honored to be endorsed by education advocates, teachers, leading environmental and labor organizations and elected leaders from Seattle, Kenmore and Lake Forest Park. I will keep working to get things done. I respectfully ask for your vote.

Contact: (206) 305-8083; info@davidfrockt.org; www.davidfrockt.org

Elected Experience: Precinct Committee Officer in Kenmore, 1998-2000.

Other Professional Experience: RN at Seattle Children's Hospital since 1988, serving patients treated in multiple specialty areas.

Education: BS in Human Biology from Newman University, Wichita, Kansas, 1975. AA nursing degree, Everett Community College, Everett, Washington, 1987, Master's in Public Policy, Regent University, Virginia Beach, Virginia.

Community Service: Worked with Citizens for a Better Kenmore, successfully opposing the gaming industry's attempt to establish new casinos in our city.

Statement: As a Puget Sound area resident since the 1950s, I've seen many good changes and some that have created needless headwinds to our economy, the richness of our community life, and our reputation as a state.

As your state senator, I'll work on both sides of the aisle, with public and private entities, to break gridlock and advance vital development of our roads and infrastructure. I'll work with the Port, the key transportation corridors and distribution centers on both sides of the mountains from border to border.

We need to invest in job growth and economic recovery in this region. It's vital for the advancement of our K-12 and higher education programs necessary for innovation and workforce growth. It will give our veterans the services they deserve and the practical assistance for the disadvantaged.

We must provide cohesiveness and common sense to the burdensome of regulations and taxation stifling our corporate partners and small businesses. Of equal importance, affecting our economic growth and quality of life, is our environment. Efforts to preserve clean air and water are critical priorities, but they must not strain our economic interests to the breaking point. Thank you for considering my candidacy.

Contact: (206) 375-5169; sperry4senate@gmail.com; sperry4senate.com

Gerry Pollet

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Unopposed

Elected Experience: Your State Representative since December, 2011

Other Professional Experience: Director and public interest attorney for Heart of America Northwest, citizens' group leading efforts for cleanup of Hanford, also working for cleanup of contamination in Magnuson Park and north Lake Washington. UW School of Public Health, Clinical Lecturer.

Education: UW School of Law

Community Service: UW School of Public Health, Community Service Award Winner 2010; Washington Coalition for Open Government, Board Member 2007-present; PTSA, volunteer in classrooms, fundraising events and forums in Seattle Public Schools for many years, and for statewide school funding and education issues; Coalition organizing to reduce overcrowding in our schools

Statement: I ask to continue to serve as your State Representative, to make our tax system more fair, champion schools, increase access to higher education, protect our environment and consumers.

I've always stood up to special interests to do what is right. That's my pledge to you. That's why I led efforts to make government more transparent while watching your tax dollars, close special interest loopholes, and won an end to predatory towing. Endorsed: 46th District Democrats, Labor Council, our teachers, Sierra Club/WCV, NARAL, AG Bob Ferguson, former Rep. Phyllis Gutierrez Kenney, Kenmore Mayor David Baker, hundreds of neighbors.

Contact: (206) 528-0078; info@gerrypollet.com; www.gerrypollet.com

Jessyn Farrell

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: State Representative, 2013 to Present. Known for bringing people together, I've improved preschool quality for our neediest kids, improved efficiency at Washington Department of Transportation, fought to preserve bus service, promoted living wages for all Washington workers, and held the line on environmental protection. I will continue to bring this energy and effectiveness to the issues we care about.

Other Professional Experience: Former executive director (Transportation Choices Coalition), attorney (Pierce Transit, WashPIRG), teacher (Youth Tutoring Program).

Education: Boston College Law School; University of Washington; Shoreline public schools (Lake Forest Park Elementary, Kellogg, and Shorecrest).

Community Service: Sound Transit Citizen Oversight Panel; Americorps Member

Statement: I'm a state representative, transportation leader, environmental champion, lawyer, and mom with proven problem-solving skills, legislative experience, and passion for public service. We can reach our potential as a community by investing in public schools, higher education, transit-friendly neighborhoods, and our diverse economic base. I will defend family planning and healthcare access for all families. Endorsements include: Sierra Club, League of Education Voters, WEA, King County Executive Dow Constantine, Kenmore Mayor Dave Baker, Lake Forest Park Deputy Mayor Catherine Stanford, Seattle Councilmembers Mike O'Brien and Sally Bagshaw, and many more individuals and businesses throughout the 46th district.

Contact: (206) 856-1669; info@electjessyn.com; www.electjessyn.com





Elected Experience: Captain of Eisenhower Foot Ball Team **Other Professional Experience:** No information submitted

Education: Graduate of Eisenhower High School, Yakima, WA., Shoreline Community College, Seattle, WA

Community Service: North Helpline Food Bank, Youth Football Camp, Red Cross Blood Drive

Statement: My name is Branden Curtis and I would be honored to serve and represent you in the 46th District. Being a student at Shoreline Community College has opened my eyes to the many struggles faced by students with the cost of education. I am looking to become something more than just a student, I want to become a leader that listens to his constituency and brings sound and sensible solutions to Olympia. I believe in small and efficient government, lowering the cost of education, strong infrastructure, strengthening our free market system, and environmental responsibility. Thank you.

Contact: (509) 945-1909; curtis9@uw.edu

Cyrus Habib

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: Representative Habib serves in the State House of Representatives, where he was elected Vice Chair of the Committee on Technology and Economic Development.

Other Professional Experience: Representative Habib is an attorney with Perkins Coie, and a law professor at Seattle University.

Education: Representative Habib lost his eyesight to childhood cancer, but, thanks to Bellevue public schools, went on to attend Columbia, Oxford as a Rhodes Scholar, and Yale Law School.

Community Service: Representative Habib is a board member of the Bellevue College Foundation, the Bellevue Downtown Association, and the 5th Avenue Theatre. He is also a former Bellevue Human Services Commissioner.

Statement: Serving you in the Legislature is a tremendous honor. I am proud to work with both Democrats and Republicans to solve difficult problems and expand opportunities for local families and businesses. I authored a landmark bill allowing entrepreneurs to use crowdfunding to grow their businesses. I brought accountability to SR-520 tolling, giving judges authority to reduce or waive late fees when the delay is the state's fault. These and other legislative successes were possible because I prioritize good policy over scoring political points.

As your Senator, I will continue to emphasize K-12 education, affordable college tuition, small business tax relief, and transportation investments in transit, congestion relief, and on-budget completion of 520. I will fight for a sustainable environment, a woman's right to make her own healthcare choices, and consumer protections that keep our families safe.

Endorsements: Bellevue, Kirkland, Redmond Firefighters, Children's Campaign Fund, Washington Education Association, Planned Parenthood Votes, Washington Conservation Voters, Senators Patty Murray and Maria Cantwell, Governor Inslee, Congressman Adam Smith and Congresswoman Suzan DelBene, County Executive Dow Constantine, Representative Ross Hunter, Mayors of Bellevue, Kirkland, Redmond, and Medina, the entire Bellevue City Council, majorities of the Kirkland and Redmond Councils, many more.

Michelle Darnell (Prefers Republican Party)



Elected Experience: Elected delegate, 2012 State Republican Convention. Citizen activist, not a career politician.

Other Professional Experience: 21 years as a mother of four wonderful children. Foreclosure defense paralegal and mediation representative for homeowner s facing foreclosure. Director of Washington Foreclosure Fairness Act Mediation Department for a local law firm. Small business owner.

Education: Ferndale High School. Whatcom Community College. Skagit Valley College.

Community Service: 15 years of active service in church; Sunday school teacher, directed youth program. Pre-school committee board member for 5 years. Led many free fore-closure defense education seminars for homeowners who have been treated unfairly.

Statement: Let's restore common sense, compassion, and fairness to our political process while resisting the current tax and spend approach of big government. We need to decrease Olympia's overreach in our lives and encourage parental choice in education. We should encourage local community control - driven by small businesses and empowered by a simpler and fairer tax structure with fewer regulations and economic barriers to entry. State leaders are out of touch with the plight of the average Washingtonian and current policy tends to benefit only those who can afford to influence it. We need a voice for the rest of us .

An example is our Legislature's recent \$8 Billion "nostrings-attached" tax deduction to Boeing, which my opponent voted for, combined with the Legislature's failure to comply with the McCleary decision to "make ample provision for the education of all children." I would lower the B&O tax for businesses but not just big businesses and thereby encourage wages to increase organically as a result of a healthy economy. Let's make Washington an economic engine of prosperity for all. We urgently need different leaders and better priorities in the legislature. Let me be *your* voice in Olympia.

Contact: (360) 720-6899; michelledarnell7@gmail.com; www.michelledarnellforsenate.com





Elected Experience: Elected State Representative 2002-2014. Chair, House of Representatives Appropriations Committee, Washington State Economic and Revenue Forecast Council. Previous Chair, Joint Legislative Audit and Review Committee.

Other Professional Experience: Microsoft Corporation 1983-2000. Program Manager Microsoft Access to General Manager Microsoft Commercial Internet System. Hold a number of patents related to database technology and user interface.

Education: Yale University BS Computer Science 1983

Community Service: Bellevue Schools Foundation Trustee 2001-2010. Hopelink Board of Directors 2004-2010. Former leader Cub Scout Pack 435 and Boy Scouts of America Cascade District vice chair. Received District Award of Merit.

Statement: Thank you for the opportunity to serve our community and build a strong economy for Washington.

As chairman of the House budget committee, I negotiated the last four bi-partisan budget agreements and led the House effort to retain the Boeing 777x jobs. This year's budget added over a billion dollars to K12 education, froze higher education tuition levels, and complies with our state's strongest in the nation balanced-budget law. With your support, I will continue to emphasize a strong, fully-funded education system, balanced state budgets, and to ensure that the 520 bridge project is completed.

Contact: (425) 610-7677; ross@rosshunter.com; www.rosshunter.com

Bill Hirt (Prefers Republican Party)

No photo submitted

Elected Experience: No information submitted

Other Professional Experience: I'm a retired Boeing Engineer who has lived with my wife in our current home for 47 years. My public transit experience includes 15 years riding buses to work and the whole gamut of public transit throughout Europe. My ST concerns were prompted by their failure to even consider two-way BRT for cross-lake transit in the 2008 DEIS. I created a blog http://stopeastlink. blogspot.com detailing these concerns and filed as a candidate to attract viewers. Later, it became clear ST problems go way beyond East Link. I'm confident those who visit my blog will agree.

Education: No information submitted

Community Service: No information submitted

Statement: My candidacy is primarily to expose the debacle awaiting the area from Sound Transit's Prop I light rail extensions. East Link will never have the capacity needed for cross-lake mass transit. Not only will it devastate parts of Bellevue and violate environmental law, it will inevitably lead to gridlock on 1-90. Central Link extensions to Federal Way and Lynnwood will never have the ridership to justify operating costly light rail trains let alone the billions spent initiating service. The result will be a "black hole" for the areas transportation funds that will dwarf the current Metro shortfall.

Contact: (425) 747-4185; wjhirt2014@gmail.com; stopeastlinknow.blogspot.com

Joan McBride

(Prefers Democratic Party)



Elected Experience: Mayor of Kirkland, 2010-2013; Deputy Mayor, 2000-2010; Councilmember, 1998-2000; Houghton Community Council, 1992-1997

Other Professional Experience: Bastyr University, Senior Development Officer; Friends of Youth, Development/Public Relations

Education: The Evergreen State College, B.A.

Community Service: I am honored to have served on the boards of the Kirkland Performance Center, Friends of Youth, Kirkland Arts Center, Feet First, Together Center, Kirkland Interfaith Network, and Washington Bikes. I also served on the PTA at Lakeview Elementary, Kirkland Middle School, and Lake Washington High School and won a Golden Acorn Award for my service.

Statement: We need experienced regional leaders to break Olympia's gridlock and make progress on transportation, education, and economic development. As Kirkland's Mayor, I worked to invigorate our economy, promote small business, and safeguard our families and neighborhoods. As your Representative, I'll build on this record—finishing the 520 Bridge and funding transit, demanding investment in our schools, preserving the social safety net, and addressing climate change. My endorsements reflect my deep ties to our Eastside communities and include the Mayors of Bellevue, Kirkland, and Redmond; Representatives Hunter and Habib; local firefighters; and business and labor leaders. I would appreciate your vote.

Contact: (206) 300-8173; joan@joanmcbride.com

Tim Turner (Prefers Libertarian Party)



Elected Experience: None

Other Professional Experience: Software Development Engineer, Microsoft (Volt), Garmin; High School Instructor of Videogame Design at Pierce County Skills Center (DigiPen Institute of Technology); Machinist Mate/Engineering Laboratory Technician (MM2 SS/ELT), United States Navy; Customer service, restaurant industry; Author of The Profit Bargaining Ratio Theory – The Production, Distribution, and Consumption of Human Value

Education: DeVry University, BS Game and Simulation Programming, Magna Cum Laude 2009; U.S. Navy Nuclear Propulsion Training Unit, ELT certification 2003

Community Service: DigiPen Institute of Technology Advisory Committee

Statement: I proudly served in the Navy to protect all of Washington's diverse ways of life. I taught high school to give students a choice in their futures. Each of us has dreams and goals. Each has different ways of taking on the world. There are no one-size fits all solutions. No politician has the right to make decisions for you that affect your health, your lifestyle, or your family. Washington belongs to its citizens, not to special interest coalitions, political action committees, or corporate lobbyists. Help me keep Washington in the hands of the people. Live, Let Live.

Contact: (360) 536-5789;

TimTurner@ElectTimTurner.com;

www.ElectTimTurner.com



Judicial Qualifications & Responsibilities

Washington judges are nonpartisan. Judicial candidates must be in good standing to practice law in Washington and are prohibited from statements that appear to commit them on legal issues that may come before them in court. Judges must be registered Washington voters.

State Supreme Court Justice

The Washington Supreme Court is the highest judiciary in the state. State Supreme Court justices hear appeals and decide cases from Courts of Appeals and other lower courts. Nine justices are elected statewide to serve six-year terms.

Court of Appeals Judge

Court of Appeals judges hear appeals from Superior Courts. A total of 22 judges serve three divisions headquartered in Seattle, Tacoma, and Spokane. Each division is further split into three districts. Court of Appeals judges serve six-year terms.

Superior Court Judge

Superior Courts hear felony criminal cases, civil matters, divorces, juvenile cases, and appeals from the lower courts. Superior Courts are organized by county into 31 districts. Superior Court judges serve four-year terms.



Candidate statements are printed exactly as submitted. The Office of the Secretary of State does not make corrections of any kind or verify statements for truth or fact.

Mary Yu (Nonpartisan)



Unopposed

Legal/Judicial Experience: Current Supreme Court Justice. Fourteen years as a trial court judge. Served as Deputy Chief of Staff to King County Prosecutor Norm Maleng and Deputy in the Civil and Criminal Divisions.

Other Professional Experience: Instructor and Distinguished Jurist in Residence, Seattle University School of Law. Director, Office for Ministry of Peace and Justice, Archdiocese of Chicago. Co-Chair, Washington State Minority and Justice Commission.

Education: B.A., Dominican University. M.A., Theology, Mundelein of Loyola University. J.D., University of Notre Dame Law School.

Community Service: Distinguished speaker on civility in the legal profession and reducing financial barriers to courts. Mentor to minority and disadvantaged students. Boardmember of FareStart.

Statement: Justice Yu joined the Supreme Court after serving for fourteen years as a highly respected Superior Court judge, where she presided over both criminal and civil cases, including hundreds of adoptions and other family law matters.

As a trial court judge, she was known for treating everyone with respect and fairness, approaching each case with an open mind, understanding that each decision a judge makes impacts someone's life, and paying careful attention to the law.

Because of her experience, integrity, and impartiality, she has received numerous awards including "Judge of the Year" from the Washington State Association for Justice, King County Washington Women Lawyers, and the Washington State Bar Association; and "Public Official of the Year" from the Municipal League Foundation. Justice Yu received the highest possible rating - Exceptionally Well Qualified - from all six bar associations that rated her.

Justice Yu is dedicated to improving access to justice and protecting individual rights for all. She is endorsed by hundreds of current and former justices and judges, elected leaders, Washington State Patrol Troopers Association, National Women's Political Caucus, Washington State Labor Council, Democrats, Republicans, Independents, and thousands of civic leaders, small business owners and community members across the state.

Contact: (206) 801-3494; info@justicemaryyu.com; www.JusticeMaryYu.com

Mary E. Fairhurst

(Nonpartisan)

Legal/Judicial Experience: Supreme Court Justice, 12 years; Washington Attorney General's Office, 16 years, specializing in revenue, transportation, criminal justice, and personnel; Supreme Court law clerk, 2 years.

Other Professional Experience: Judicial Information System Committee, Chair; Judicial Administration Public Trust and Confidence Committee, Chair; Council on Public Legal Education, Member; Washington State Bar Association, past President and Board of Governors; Washington Women Lawyers, past President.

Education: Law degree, high honors, BA with honors in Political Science, both Gonzaga University.

Community Service: State iCivics Program Chair; We the People Board Member; Thurston County Food Bank Board Member; YMCA Youth and Government volunteer; past Girl Scout Board member.

Statement: I am delighted and honored to serve you on our highest court. As a justice, I am a fierce champion of fairness and judicial independence. I respect the dignity and worth of every individual – and the guiding principles of our Constitution and laws. My role is to ensure that your rights are protected and responsibilities to our communities are upheld.

During my tenure, I've worked to enhance your trust and confidence in our judicial system. We have improved access to justice, streamlined operations, promoted technology, and made the court more efficient and transparent.

I was raised in a large, engaged family where I learned at the kitchen table, the values of open debate, honesty, and standing up for each other. I bring these values every day to the Supreme Court. I am passionate about achieving outcomes that make a difference in the lives of real people.


With your vote, I will build upon my record of fairness, impartiality, and independence. I'm proudly endorsed by over 100 current and retired judges, political and civic leaders, business and labor, law enforcement, firefighters, teachers, Democrats, Independents, Republicans and many more.

Contact: (360) 216-7388; JusticeFairhurst@gmail.com; www.JusticeMaryFairhurst.com

Unopposed

Eddie Yoon

(Nonpartisan)



Other Professional Experience: Professor of U.S. Constitutional Law; EWHA Women's Law School - Seoul. My Supreme Court Cases: (1) against ex-presidents Chun, Do-whan, and Roh, Tae-woo for massacres in Kwang-ju City, South Korea and (2) against Japanese corporations for slavery during WWII.

Education: Lincoln High School, Tacoma 1966 - all city football player. Grays Harbor Junior College. Played football for Jack Elway. Pacific Lutheran University, 1970. University of Washington Law School, 1974. CLEO Legal Fellow.

Community Service: Pro bono work for Korean and others.

Statement: Unlike most judges. I have had real life experiences. While in college I worked in the logging camps (choker). Before becoming the first Korean-American attorney in the Northwest I also worked as a transportation agent for Northwest Airlines. Although I am currently a professor of U.S. constitutional and criminal law at the elite EWHA Women's Law School in Seoul, my wife and I lend a hand at running a small hotel owned by her family in Korea. I believe that my legal ability is evidenced by the fact that I took two cases to the U.S. Supreme Court which is unheard of for a solo attorney. As a Supreme Court Justice, I will try to continue to educate young people regarding the legal systems in Washington and the intrinsic value of our U.S. Constitution. I would be willing to travel throughout the state to do this. I also believe Supreme Court cases should be heard at cities throughout the state so that citizens know the workings of the Supreme Court. Finally, I believe a salary of \$90,000.00 is enough for this job and would donate the balance (\$77,505.00) to charity.

Contact: (818) 903-1692; eddieyoon65@naver.com

Charles W. Johnson

(Nonpartisan)



enced member, has worked 24 years protecting individual rights, balancing the scales of justice for those less privileged, and improving court efficiency. For 15 years he taught Washington Constitutional Law at Seattle University Law School. He remains distinguished jurist in residence at the school.

Other Professional Experience: Before joining the court in 1991, Justice Johnson worked 14 years as a lawyer helping people with everyday needs.

Education: Seattle University Law School; University of Washington; Curtis High School, Tacoma.

Community Service: Washington Trails Association; Pierce County Food Bank; Pierce County Prayer Breakfast; YMCA Youth Programs.

Statement: Justice Charles Johnson understands our rights and freedoms. His 24-year record shows his commitment to individual privacy and holding government accountable. He works to deliver fair, accessible, and equal justice for all.

Lawyers' groups rate Justice Johnson "exceptionally well qualified." His proven experience, fairness, intellect, and impartiality are reflected by the diversity of organizations supporting his re-election, including: State Council of Fire Fighters; State Patrol Troopers; King, Pierce, and Snohomish County Democrats; Mainstream Republicans; State Labor Council; State Association of Realtors; Aerospace Machinists 751; Federation of State Employees; and other groups and individuals statewide.

The National Council on Racial and Ethnic Fairness recognized his efforts to improve justice for all persons. He received the McAulay National Legal Educator Award for lifetime dedication to integrity, compassion, courage, and professional service. He received a special commendation for improving legal services to military members.

We need Supreme Court members like Justice Johnson, with proven experience, intelligence, integrity, fairness, and impartiality. Hard work and challenges underscore his life. He worked as a laborer to pay for college and law school, and understands the value of our time and money.

A lifetime Washington resident, Justice Johnson and his wife, Dana, live in Gig Harbor.

Contact: (253) 279-2102; charlesjohnson2014@comcast.net; www.charlesjohnsonforjustice.com





(Nonpartisan)



Legal/Judicial Experience: Supreme Court Justice since January 2008. Statewide trial and appellate practice, including 120+ appearances before the Washington Supreme Court. Author and speaker at 100+ legal seminars. Judge of Division Three Court of Appeals before joining the Supreme Court.

Other Professional Experience: Minority and Justice Commission Member. National Courts Science Institute Advisory Board Chair. Adjunct Professor, Gonzaga Law School since 1995 (taught Constitutional Law, Community Property and Appellate Advocacy). Former community college instructor.

Education: B.A. and J.D., Gonzaga University; West Valley High, Spokane.

Community Service: Former school board director. Sacred Heart Children's Hospital fundraising volunteer. Rotary Board member.

Statement: Since becoming a Justice in 2008, I have dedicated myself to serving the people of this state and upholding the rule of law. As the only current Justice from Eastern Washington, I bring an important perspective to the Court. As a longtime constitutional law professor, I respect legal traditions. And as a mom, former school board member, and community volunteer, I understand how court decisions impact Washington families.

Our courts are a critical branch of government, where every person – regardless of circumstance – is treated fairly, with dignity, and free from bias and politics. I work every day to maintain the independence of our judiciary, and trust the people of Washington to elect judges who support our values and respect the law.

I am proud to have support of people across the state: prominent leaders in government, education, and business; law enforcement, firefighters, labor unions, judges, and lawyers. Rated "exceptionally well qualified," by statewide organizations, I strive to write clear opinions that uphold our values and build trust in the integrity of our justice system. I ask for the opportunity to serve you for another 6 years, and appreciate your vote.

Contact: (360) 313-6913; JusticeDebraStephens@gmail.com; www.JusticeDebraStephens.com



(Nonpartisan)



Legal/Judicial Experience: John Scannell organized and filed a class action lawsuit which won millions of dollars for City of Seattle employees. He blocked/delayed the building of sports stadiums by challenging their public financing. He was elected employee representative by the City of Seattle employees on the Civil Service Commission.

Other Professional Experience: No information submitted

Education: Graduated with honors at Renton High School. Graduated with honors University of Washington with major in Physics, minor in mathematics Became the second person to complete the State of Washington Law Clerk program in the minimum of four years

Community Service: Performed pro bono legal work for low income clients and prisoners.

Statement: Zamboni John Scannell has been one of the few attorneys in the State that has actively been supporting the American Bar Associations long standing criticism of the Washington attorney disciplinary system. He has filed a federal RICO lawsuit citing the problems the Washington State Bar Association has created by administering the system.

The Washington State Supreme Court is in charge of the system, but the court has come under sharp criticism for 40 years for its practice of delegating its responsibility to the Washington State Bar Association. The ABA rightly likens this to the practice of putting the fox in charge of the henhouse, with Washington being one of the few state that still continue this practice.

The practice of putting a politically elected bar leadership in charge of attorney discipline has resulted in low charging rates, discipline directed at attorney who represent unpopular clients, as well as discipline directed at minority attorneys in disproportionate numbers. John Scannell appears to be the only candidate that advocates taking the bar association out of the disciplinary process.

Scannell will protect the rights of Washington citizens with decisions that are intelligent, just and ethical.

Contact: (206) 624-3685; zamboni_john@hotmail.com; www.actionlaw.net

Michael J. Trickey

(Nonpartisan)



Unopposed

Legal/Judicial Experience: Governor Inslee appointed me to the Court of Appeals effective May 1, 2014. Prior to that I was a King County Superior Court Judge 1996-2014. I handled every time of case that came to superior court. Before becoming a judge, I was in private pratice for many years. I had an extensive trial and appellate practice. I started my career as a public defender.

Other Professional Experience: No information submitted

Education: I was graduated from the University of Washington School of Law in 1979. I received my undergraduate degree from the University of California Berkeley in 1975. I also attended Claremont McKenna College.

Community Service: No information submitted

Statement: I am honored to serve the people of King County and the State of Washington on the Court of Appeals. I bring years of experience as a trial lawyer and a trial judge to the appellate bench.

As a trial judge, I worked hard to improve court technology and access to justice for children and families. I will maintain that commitment in the Court of Appeals.

I am thankful for your support and ask you for your vote.

Contact: (206) 726-8053; retainjudgetrickey@gmail.com

Linda Lau (Nonpartisan)



Unopposed

Legal/Judicial Experience: Judge Lau was appointed to Division I of the Washington State Court of Appeals by Governor Gregoire in 2007. She served as a King County District Court Judge (1993-1995) and King County Superior Court Judge (1995-2007).

Other Professional Experience: Judge Lau worked as a criminal and civil deputy prosecuting attorney and in a law firm handling civil litigation.

Education: B.A. University of Washington; J.D. Seattle University School of Law

Community Service: Judge Lau served as a former Sound Mental Health Board Member, law school moot court judge, and mentor judge to diverse candidates interested in a judicial career.

Statement: Linda Lau was born and raised in Seattle. She grew up working alongside her immigrant parents and siblings in the family's laundry business and food market in Seattle's Beacon Hill neighborhood. Judge Lau entered law school after a decade long career in human resources for several local companies. She has served the community for over 20 years as a trial court and appeals court judge. Judge Lau is running unopposed this year. She is honored to continue this challenging and important work. She thanks the voters for their continued support.

Contact: (206) 523-7233; lindamaylau@gmail.com

Ann Schindler

(Nonpartisan)



Unopposed

Legal/Judicial Experience: Appointed by Governor Gary Locke and elected in 2002, Judge Schindler has served with distinction on the Court of Appeals. Prior to 2002, Judge Schindler was a trial court judge on the King County Superior Court bench for 10 years.

Other Professional Experience: Member of the Board of Judicial Administration, the Gender and Justice Commission, and Chair, Washington State Center for Court Research Advisory Board.

Education: Juris Doctorate, University of Washington School of Law; Bachelor of Arts, University of San Francisco.

Community Service: Judge Schindler is dedicated to access to justice and eliminating barriers to our court system.

Statement: There is a constitutional right to an appeal. The Washington State Court of Appeals decides most cases on appeal from the trial courts, including appeals in civil, criminal, juvenile, and family law matters. A panel of three judges decides several hundred cases a year and each judge authors opinions in approximately 75 cases.


Judge Schindler has served as the Presiding Chief Judge of the Court of Appeals and the Chief Judge of Division One. While on the superior court, Judge Schindler also served in many leadership capacities including as Assistant Presiding Judge and Chief Judge of the Norm Maleng Regional Justice Center. In addition, Judge Schindler was a senior attorney in the Civil Division of the King County Prosecutor's Office and an attorney in private practice with the law firm of Culp, Dwyer, Guterson & Grader.

Judge Schindler is a recipient of the Outstanding Judge of the Year Award from the King County Bar Association, and the Vanguard Award and the President's Award from Washington Women Lawyers.

Judge Schindler has the experience and leadership qualities we need on the Court of Appeals. Please vote to re-elect Judge Ann Schindler to the Court of Appeals. Thank you.

Contact: (206) 443-1990; annschindler@comcast.net

COUNTING YOUR BALLOT





Mock Election October 27 - 31

The Mock Election is a nonpartisan, educational program that teaches kids how to be informed voters.

Voting in the Mock Election is free for students in grades K-12. Ballots and voters' guides are available for all reading levels.

Kids vote at www.vote.wa.gov/MockElection.

Photo: student voters at Dayton Elementary

5 ways voting is unique in wa

1



Top 2 Primary

Washington uses a Top 2 Primary system, rather than a party nominating system. In our Top 2 Primary, the two candidates who receive the most votes advance to the General Election regardless of their party preference.

2

No party affiliation

As a Washington voter, you do not declare a party affiliation. This allows you to vote for any candidate in the primary, regardless of party preference.



3



Online voter registration

Washington offers online voter registration. Register to vote or update your address at www.myvote.wa.gov.

4

Vote by mail

Washington State votes by mail. We are one of three states in the nation to eliminate poll sites. A ballot is mailed 18 days before each election, ensuring you have time to cast an informed ballot without waiting in line.

5



Voters' pamphlet

Only a few states produce a voters' pamphlet like this one. In Washington, a pamphlet is mailed to every household before each General Election.

Learn more about voting at

WWW.VOTE.WA.GOV



How do I read measure text?

Any language in double parentheses with a line through it is existing state law and will be taken out of the law if this measure is approved by voters.

((sample of text to be deleted))

Any underlined language does not appear in current state law but will be added to the law if this measure is approved by voters.

sample of text to be added

Complete TextInitiative Measure 1351

AN ACT Relating to lowering class sizes and increasing school staff to provide all students the opportunity for a quality education; amending RCW 28A.150.260; adding a new section to chapter 28A.150 RCW; creating new sections; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BYTHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** This initiative concerns reducing the number of students per class in grades K-12. Washington ranks forty-seventh out of fifty states in the nation in the number of students per class. The voters understand that reduced class sizes are critical for students especially to learn technical skills such as mathematics, science, technology, and other skills critical for success in the new economy.

It is the intent of the voters that reduction in class sizes be achieved by the legislature funding annual investments to lower class sizes and to increase school staffing in order to provide every student with the opportunities to receive a high quality basic education as well as improve student performance and graduation rates.

A teacher's ability to individualize instruction, provide timely feedback to students and families, and keep students actively engaged in learning activities is substantially increased with smaller class sizes. Students in smaller classes have shown improved attendance, greater academic growth, and higher scores on achievement tests; and students from disadvantaged groups experience two to three times the average gains of their peers. Smaller class sizes will provide an equitable opportunity for all students to reach their potential and will assist in closing the achievement gap.

In order to comply with the constitutional requirement to amply fund basic education and with the Washington supreme court decision in *McCleary v. the State of Washington*, it is the intent of the voters to implement with fidelity chapter 548, Laws of 2009 and chapter 236, Laws of 2010. These laws revised the definition of the program of basic education, established new methods for distributing state funds to school districts to support this program of basic education, and established a process where the quality education council and

technical working groups would make recommendations as to the level of resources that would be required to achieve the state's defined program of basic education by 2018.

This measure would create smaller class sizes for grades K-12 over a four-year period with priority to schools with high levels of student poverty. These annual improvements are to be considered basic education funding that may be used to assist the Washington supreme court to determine the adequacy of progress in addressing the state's paramount duty in accordance with the McCleary decision. State funding would be provided based on a reduction of K-3 class size to seventeen and grade 4-12 class size to twenty-five; and for schools with more than fifty percent of students in poverty, that is, more than fifty percent of students were eligible for free and reduced-price meals in the prior school year, a reduction of K-3 class size to fifteen, grade 4 to twenty-two, and grade 5-12 class size to twenty-three. The measure would also provide funding for increased school teaching and student support including librarians, counselors, school nurses, teaching assistants, and other critical staff necessary for the safe and effective operation of a school, to meet individual student needs, and to ensure all required school functions can be performed by appropriately trained personnel.

Sec. 2. RCW 28A.150.260 and 2011 1st sp.s. c 27 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

The purpose of this section is to provide for the allocation of state funding that the legislature deems necessary to support school districts in offering the minimum instructional program of basic education under RCW 28A.150.220. The allocation shall be determined as follows:

(1) The governor shall and the superintendent of public instruction may recommend to the legislature a formula for the distribution of a basic education instructional allocation for each common school district.

(2) The distribution formula under this section shall be for allocation purposes only. Except <u>as required for class size reduction funding provided under subsection (4)(f) of this section and as may be required under chapter 28A.155, 28A.165, 28A.180, or 28A.185 RCW, or federal laws and regulations, nothing in this section requires school districts to use basic education instructional funds to implement a particular instructional approach or service. Nothing in this section requires school districts to maintain a particular classroom teacher-to-student ratio or other staff-to-student ratio or to use allocated funds to pay for particular types or classifications of staff. Nothing in this section entitles an individual teacher to a particular teacher planning period.</u>

(3)(a) To the extent the technical details of the formula have been adopted by the legislature and except when specifically provided as a school district allocation, the distribution formula for the basic education instructional allocation shall be based on minimum staffing and nonstaff costs the legislature deems necessary to support instruction and operations in prototypical schools serving high, middle, and elementary school students as provided in this section. The use of prototypical schools for the distribution formula does not constitute legislative intent that schools should be operated or structured in a similar fashion as the prototypes. Prototypical schools illustrate the level of resources needed to operate a school of a particular size with particular types and grade levels

of students using commonly understood terms and inputs, such as class size, hours of instruction, and various categories of school staff. It is the intent that the funding allocations to school districts be adjusted from the school prototypes based on the actual number of annual average full-time equivalent students in each grade level at each school in the district and not based on the grade-level configuration of the school to the extent that data is available. The allocations shall be further adjusted from the school prototypes with minimum allocations for small schools and to reflect other factors identified in the omnibus appropriations act.

- (b) For the purposes of this section, prototypical schools are defined as follows:
- (i) A prototypical high school has six hundred average annual full-time equivalent students in grades nine through twelve;
- (ii) A prototypical middle school has four hundred thirtytwo average annual full-time equivalent students in grades seven and eight; and
- (iii) A prototypical elementary school has four hundred average annual full-time equivalent students in grades kindergarten through six.
- (4)(a) The minimum allocation for each level of prototypical school shall be based on the number of full-time equivalent classroom teachers needed to provide instruction over the minimum required annual instructional hours under RCW 28A.150.220 and provide at least one teacher planning period per school day, and based on the following general education average class size of full-time equivalent students per teacher:

	General education average class size
Grades K-3	((25.23)) <u>17.0</u>
Grade 4	((27.00)) <u>25.0</u>
Grades 5-6	((27.00)) <u>25.0</u>
Grades 7-8	((28.53)) <u>25.0</u>
Grades 9-12	((28.74)) <u>25.0</u>

- (b) During the 2011-2013 biennium and beginning with schools with the highest percentage of students eligible for free and reduced-price meals in the prior school year, the general education average class size for grades K-3 shall be reduced until the average class size funded under this subsection (4) is no more than 17.0 full-time equivalent students per teacher beginning in the 2017-18 school year.
- (c)The minimum allocation for each prototypical middle and high school shall also provide for full-time equivalent classroom teachers based on the following number of full-time equivalent students per teacher in career and technical education:

	Career and technical education average class size
Approved career and technical education offered at the middle school and high school level	((26.57)) <u>19.0</u>
Skill center programs meeting the standards established by the office of the superintendent of public instruction	((22.76)) <u>16.0</u>

- (d) In addition, the omnibus appropriations act shall at a minimum specify((:
- (i) A high-poverty average class size in schools where more than fifty percent of the students are eligible for free and reduced-price meals; and
- (ii))) <u>a</u> specialty average class size for laboratory science, advanced placement, and international baccalaureate courses.
- (e) For each level of prototypical school at which more than fifty percent of the students were eligible for free and reduced-price meals in the prior school year, the superintendent shall allocate funding based on the following average class size of full-time equivalent students per teacher:

	General education average class size in high poverty
Grades K-3	<u>15.0</u>
Grade 4	<u>22.0</u>
Grades 5-6	23.0
Grades 7-8	23.0
Grades 9-12	23.0

(f)(i) Funding for average class sizes in this subsection (4) shall be provided only to the extent of, and proportionate to, the school district's demonstrated actual average class size, up to the funded class sizes.

(ii) Districts that demonstrate capital facility needs that prevent them from reducing actual class sizes to funded levels, may use funding in this subsection (4) for school based-personnel who provide direct services to students. Districts that use this funding for purposes other than reducing actual class sizes must annually report the number and dollar value for each type of personnel funded by school and grade level.

(iii) The office of the superintendent of public instruction shall develop rules to implement this subsection (4).

(5) The minimum allocation for each level of prototypical school shall include allocations necessary for the safe and effective operation of a school, to meet individual student needs, and to ensure all required school functions can be performed by appropriately trained personnel, for the following types of staff in addition to classroom teachers:

	Elementary School	Middle School	High School
Principals, assistant principals, and other certificated building-level administrators	((1.253)) <u>1.3</u>	((1.353)) <u>1.4</u>	((1.880)) <u>1.9</u>
Teacher librarians, a function that includes information literacy, technology, and media to support school library media programs	((0.663)) <u>1.0</u>	((0.519)) <u>1.0</u>	((0.523)) <u>1.0</u>
Health and social services:			
School nurses	((0.076)) <u>0.585</u>	((0.060)) <u>0.888</u>	((0.096)) <u>0.824</u>
Social workers	((0.042)) <u>0.311</u>	((0.006)) <u>0.088</u>	((0.015)) <u>0.127</u>
Psychologists	((0.017)) <u>0.104</u>	((0.002)) <u>0.024</u>	((0.007)) <u>0.049</u>
Guidance counselors, a function that includes parent outreach and graduation advising	((0.493)) <u>0.50</u>	((1.116)) <u>2.0</u>	((1.909)) <u>3.5</u>
Teaching assistance, including any aspect of educational instructional services provided by classified employees	((0.936)) <u>2.0</u>	((0.700)) <u>1.0</u>	((0.652)) <u>1.0</u>
Office support and other noninstructional aides	((2.012)) <u>3.0</u>	((2.325)) <u>3.5</u>	((3.269)) <u>3.5</u>
Custodians	((1.657)) <u>1.7</u>	((1.942)) <u>2.0</u>	((2.965)) <u>3.0</u>
Classified staff providing student and staff safety	((0.079)) <u>0.0</u>	((0.092)) <u>0.7</u>	((0.141)) <u>1.3</u>
Parent involvement coordinators	((0.00)) <u>1.0</u>	((0.00)) <u>1.0</u>	((0.00)) <u>1.0</u>

(6)(a) The minimum staffing allocation for each school district to provide district-wide support services shall be allocated per one thousand annual average full-time equivalent students in grades K-12 as follows:

	Staff per 1,000 K-12 students
Technology	((0.628)) <u>2.8</u>
Facilities, maintenance, and grounds	((1.813)) <u>4.0</u>
Warehouse, laborers, and mechanics	((0.332)) <u>1.9</u>

- (b) The minimum allocation of staff units for each school district to support certificated and classified staffing of central administration shall be 5.30 percent of the staff units generated under subsections (4)(a) and (b) and (5) of this section and (a) of this subsection.
- (7) The distribution formula shall include staffing allocations to school districts for career and technical education and skill center administrative and other school-level certificated staff, as specified in the omnibus appropriations act.
- (8)(a) Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, the minimum allocation for each school district shall include allocations per annual average full-time equivalent student for the following materials, supplies, and operating costs, to be adjusted for inflation from the 2008-09 school year:

	Per annual average full-time equivalent student in grades K-12
Technology	\$54.43
Utilities and insurance	\$147.90
Curriculum and textbooks	\$58.44
Other supplies and library materials	\$124.07
Instructional professional development for certified and classified staff	\$9.04
Facilities maintenance	\$73.27
Security and central office	\$50.76

(b) During the 2011-2013 biennium, the minimum allocation for maintenance, supplies, and operating costs shall be increased as specified in the omnibus appropriations act. The following allocations, adjusted for inflation from the 2007-08 school year, are provided in the 2015-16 school year, after which the allocations shall be adjusted annually for inflation as specified in the omnibus appropriations act:

	Per annual average full-time equivalent student in grades K-12
Technology	\$113.80
Utilities and insurance	\$309.21
Curriculum and textbooks	\$122.17
Other supplies and library materials	\$259.39
Instructional professional development for certificated and classified staff	\$18.89
Facilities maintenance	\$153.18
Security and central office administration	\$106.12

- (9) In addition to the amounts provided in subsection (8) of this section, the omnibus appropriations act shall provide an amount based on full-time equivalent student enrollment in each of the following:
- (a) Exploratory career and technical education courses for students in grades seven through twelve;
- (b) Laboratory science courses for students in grades nine through twelve;
- (c) Preparatory career and technical education courses for students in grades nine through twelve offered in a high school: and
- (d) Preparatory career and technical education courses for students in grades eleven and twelve offered through a skill center.
- (10) In addition to the allocations otherwise provided under this section, amounts shall be provided to support the following programs and services:
- (a) To provide supplemental instruction and services for underachieving students through the learning assistance program under RCW 28A.165.005 through 28A.165.065, allocations shall be based on the district percentage of students in grades K-12 who were eligible for free or reduced-price meals in the prior school year. The minimum allocation for the program shall provide for each level of prototypical school resources to provide, on a statewide average, 1.5156 hours per week in extra instruction with a class size of fifteen learning assistance program students per teacher.
- (b) To provide supplemental instruction and services for students whose primary language is other than English, allocations shall be based on the head count number of students in each school who are eligible for and enrolled in the transitional bilingual instruction program under RCW 28A.180.010 through 28A.180.080. The minimum allocation for each level of prototypical school shall provide resources to provide, on a statewide average, 4.7780 hours per week in extra instruction with fifteen transitional bilingual instruction program students per teacher. Notwithstanding other provisions of this subsection (10), the actual per-student allocation may be scaled to provide a larger allocation for students needing more intensive intervention and a commensurate reduced allocation for students needing less intensive intervention, as detailed in the omnibus appropriations act.
- (c) To provide additional allocations to support programs for highly capable students under RCW 28A.185.010 through 28A.185.030, allocations shall be based on two and three hundred fourteen one-thousandths percent of each school district's full-time equivalent basic education enrollment. The minimum allocation for the programs shall provide resources to provide, on a statewide average, 2.1590 hours per week in extra instruction with fifteen highly capable program students per teacher.
- (11) The allocations under subsections (4)(a) and (b), (5), (6), and (8) of this section shall be enhanced as provided under RCW 28A.150.390 on an excess cost basis to provide supplemental instructional resources for students with disabilities.
- (12)(a) For the purposes of allocations for prototypical high schools and middle schools under subsections (4) and (10) of this section that are based on the percent of students in the school who are eligible for free and reduced-price meals, the actual percent of such students in a school shall be adjusted by a factor identified in the omnibus appropriations act to re-

- flect underreporting of free and reduced-price meal eligibility among middle and high school students.
- (b) Allocations or enhancements provided under subsections (4), (7), and (9) of this section for exploratory and preparatory career and technical education courses shall be provided only for courses approved by the office of the superintendent of public instruction under chapter 28A.700 RCW.
- (13)(a) This formula for distribution of basic education funds shall be reviewed biennially by the superintendent and governor. The recommended formula shall be subject to approval, amendment or rejection by the legislature.
- (b) In the event the legislature rejects the distribution formula recommended by the governor, without adopting a new distribution formula, the distribution formula for the previous school year shall remain in effect.
- (c) The enrollment of any district shall be the annual average number of full-time equivalent students and part-time students as provided in RCW 28A.150.350, enrolled on the first school day of each month, including students who are in attendance pursuant to RCW 28A.335.160 and 28A.225.250 who do not reside within the servicing school district. The definition of full-time equivalent student shall be determined by rules of the superintendent of public instruction and shall be included as part of the superintendent's biennial budget request. The definition shall be based on the minimum instructional hour offerings required under RCW 28A.150.220. Any revision of the present definition shall not take effect until approved by the house ways and means committee and the senate ways and means committee.
- (d) The office of financial management shall make a monthly review of the superintendent's reported full-time equivalent students in the common schools in conjunction with RCW 43.62.050.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 28A.150 RCW to read as follows:

In order to make measurable progress toward implementing the provisions of section 2, chapter ..., Laws of 2015 (section 2 of this act) by September 1, 2017, the legislature shall increase state funding allocations under RCW 28A.150.260 according to the following schedule:

- (1) For the 2015-2017 biennium, funding allocations shall be no less than fifty percent of the difference between the funding necessary to support the numerical values under RCW 28A.150.260 as of September 1, 2013, and the funding necessary to support the numerical values under section 2, chapter ..., Laws of 2015 (section 2 of this act), with priority for additional funding provided during this biennium for the highest poverty schools and school districts;
- (2) By the end of the 2017-2019 biennium and thereafter, funding allocations shall be no less than the funding necessary to support the numerical values under section 2, chapter ..., Laws of 2015 (section 2 of this act).

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 4.** This act may be known and cited as the lower class sizes for a quality education act.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 5.** Section 2 of this act takes effect September 1, 2018.

--- END ---

Complete Text Initiative Measure 591

AN ACT Relating to protecting gun and other firearm rights; adding new sections to chapter 9.41 RCW; and creating new sections.

BE IT ENACTED BYTHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

It is unlawful for any government agency to confiscate guns or other firearms from citizens without due process.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

It is unlawful for any government agency to require background checks on the recipient of a firearm unless a uniform national standard is required.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. The provisions of this act are to be liberally construed to effectuate the intent, policies, and purposes of this act.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. This act is known and may be cited as the "Protect Our Gun Rights Act."

--- END ---

register to vote

www.myvote.wa.gov



Complete Text Initiative Measure 594

AN ACT Relating to requiring criminal and public safety background checks for gun sales and transfers; amending RCW 9.41.010, 9.41.090, 9.41.122, 9.41.124, and 82.12.040; adding new sections to chapter 9.41 RCW; adding a new section to chapter 82.08 RCW; creating a new section; and prescribing penalties.

BE IT ENACTED BYTHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. There is broad consensus that felons, persons convicted of domestic violence crimes, and persons dangerously mentally ill as determined by a court should not be eligible to possess guns for public safety reasons. Criminal and public safety background checks are an effective and easy mechanism to ensure that guns are not purchased by or transferred to those who are prohibited from possessing them. Criminal and public safety background checks also reduce illegal gun trafficking. Because Washington's current background check requirements apply only to sales or transfers by licensed firearms dealers, many guns are sold or transferred without a criminal and public safety background check, allowing criminals and dangerously mentally ill individuals to gain access to guns.

Conducting criminal and public safety background checks will help ensure that all persons buying guns are legally eligible to do so. The people find that it is in the public interest to strengthen our background check system by extending the requirement for a background check to apply to all gun sales and transfers in the state, except as permitted herein. To encourage compliance with background check requirements, the sales tax imposed by RCW 82.08.020 would not apply to the sale or transfer of any firearms between two unlicensed persons if the unlicensed persons have complied with all background check requirements.

This measure would extend criminal and public safety background checks to all gun sales or transfers. Background checks would not be required for gifts between immediate family members or for antiques.

Sec. 2. RCW 9.41.010 and 2013 c 183 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

Unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter.

- (1) "Antique firearm" means a firearm or replica of a firearm not designed or redesigned for using rim fire or conventional center fire ignition with fixed ammunition and manufactured in or before 1898, including any matchlock, flintlock, percussion cap, or similar type of ignition system and also any firearm using fixed ammunition manufactured in or before 1898, for which ammunition is no longer manufactured in the United States and is not readily available in the ordinary channels of commercial trade.
- (2) "Barrel length" means the distance from the bolt face of a closed action down the length of the axis of the bore to the crown of the muzzle, or in the case of a barrel with attachments to the end of any legal device permanently attached to the end of the muzzle.
 - (3) "Crime of violence" means:

- (a) Any of the following felonies, as now existing or hereafter amended: Any felony defined under any law as a class A felony or an attempt to commit a class A felony, criminal solicitation of or criminal conspiracy to commit a class A felony, manslaughter in the first degree, manslaughter in the second degree, indecent liberties if committed by forcible compulsion, kidnapping in the second degree, arson in the second degree, assault in the second degree, assault of a child in the second degree, extortion in the first degree, burglary in the second degree, residential burglary, and robbery in the second degree;
- (b) Any conviction for a felony offense in effect at any time prior to June 6, 1996, which is comparable to a felony classified as a crime of violence in (a) of this subsection: and
- (c) Any federal or out-of-state conviction for an offense comparable to a felony classified as a crime of violence under (a) or (b) of this subsection.
- (4) "Dealer" means a person engaged in the business of selling firearms at wholesale or retail who has, or is required to have, a federal firearms license under 18 U.S.C. Sec. 923(a). A person who does not have, and is not required to have, a federal firearms license under 18 U.S.C. Sec. 923(a), is not a dealer if that person makes only occasional sales, exchanges, or purchases of firearms for the enhancement of a personal collection or for a hobby, or sells all or part of his or her personal collection of firearms.
- (5) "Family or household member" means "family" or "household member" as used in RCW 10.99.020.
- (6) "Felony" means any felony offense under the laws of this state or any federal or out-of-state offense comparable to a felony offense under the laws of this state.
- (7) "Felony firearm offender" means a person who has previously been convicted or found not guilty by reason of insanity in this state of any felony firearm offense. A person is not a felony firearm offender under this chapter if any and all qualifying offenses have been the subject of an expungement, pardon, annulment, certificate, or rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of the rehabilitation of the person convicted or a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.
 - (8) "Felony firearm offense" means:
- (a) Any felony offense that is a violation of <u>this</u> chapter ((9.41 RCW));
 - (b) A violation of RCW 9A.36.045;
 - (c) A violation of RCW 9A.56.300;
 - (d) A violation of RCW 9A.56.310;
- (e) Any felony offense if the offender was armed with a firearm in the commission of the offense.
- (9) "Firearm" means a weapon or device from which a projectile or projectiles may be fired by an explosive such as gunpowder.
 - (10) "Gun" has the same meaning as firearm.
- _____(11) "Law enforcement officer" includes a general authority Washington peace officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020, or a specially commissioned Washington peace officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020. "Law enforcement officer" also includes a limited authority Washington peace officer as defined in RCW 10.93.020 if such officer is duly authorized by his or her employer to carry a concealed pistol.
- (((11))) (12) "Lawful permanent resident" has the same meaning afforded a person "lawfully admitted for permanent

- residence" in 8 U.S.C. Sec. 1101(a)(20).
- (((12))) (13) "Licensed dealer" means a person who is federally licensed under 18 U.S.C. Sec. 923(a).
 - (14) "Loaded" means:
 - (a) There is a cartridge in the chamber of the firearm;
- (b) Cartridges are in a clip that is locked in place in the firearm;
- (c) There is a cartridge in the cylinder of the firearm, if the firearm is a revolver;
- (d) There is a cartridge in the tube or magazine that is inserted in the action; or
- (e) There is a ball in the barrel and the firearm is capped or primed if the firearm is a muzzle loader.
- (((13))) (<u>15)</u> "Machine gun" means any firearm known as a machine gun, mechanical rifle, submachine gun, or any other mechanism or instrument not requiring that the trigger be pressed for each shot and having a reservoir clip, disc, drum, belt, or other separable mechanical device for storing, carrying, or supplying ammunition which can be loaded into the firearm, mechanism, or instrument, and fired therefrom at the rate of five or more shots per second.
- (((14))) (16) "Nonimmigrant alien" means a person defined as such in 8 U.S.C. Sec. 1101(a)(15).
- (((15))) (17) "Person" means any individual, corporation, company, association, firm, partnership, club, organization, society, joint stock company, or other legal entity.
- ____(18) "Pistol" means any firearm with a barrel less than sixteen inches in length, or is designed to be held and fired by the use of a single hand.
- (((16))) (<u>19)</u> "Rifle" means a weapon designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed metallic cartridge to fire only a single projectile through a rifled bore for each single pull of the trigger.
- (((17))) (<u>20) "Sale" and</u> "<u>sell"</u> ((refers to)) <u>mean</u> the actual approval of the delivery of a firearm in consideration of payment or promise of payment ((of a certain price in money)).
- (((18))) (<u>21)</u> "Serious offense" means any of the following felonies or a felony attempt to commit any of the following felonies, as now existing or hereafter amended:
 - (a) Any crime of violence;
- (b) Any felony violation of the uniform controlled substances act, chapter 69.50 RCW, that is classified as a class B felony or that has a maximum term of imprisonment of at least ten years;
 - (c) Child molestation in the second degree;
 - (d) Incest when committed against a child under age fourteen;
 - (e) Indecent liberties;
 - (f) Leading organized crime;
 - (g) Promoting prostitution in the first degree;
 - (h) Rape in the third degree;
 - (i) Drive-by shooting;
 - (j) Sexual exploitation;
- (k) Vehicular assault, when caused by the operation or driving of a vehicle by a person while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug or by the operation or driving of a vehicle in a reckless manner;
- (I) Vehicular homicide, when proximately caused by the driving of any vehicle by any person while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug as defined by RCW 46.61.502,

or by the operation of any vehicle in a reckless manner;

- (m) Any other class B felony offense with a finding of sexual motivation, as "sexual motivation" is defined under RCW 9.94A.030:
- (n) Any other felony with a deadly weapon verdict under RCW 9.94A.825; ((or))
- (o) Any felony offense in effect at any time prior to June 6, 1996, that is comparable to a serious offense, or any federal or out-of-state conviction for an offense that under the laws of this state would be a felony classified as a serious offense; or

(p) Any felony conviction under section 9 of this act.

- (((19))) (22) "Short-barreled rifle" means a rifle having one or more barrels less than sixteen inches in length and any weapon made from a rifle by any means of modification if such modified weapon has an overall length of less than twenty-six inches.
- (({20}))) (23) "Short-barreled shotgun" means a shotgun having one or more barrels less than eighteen inches in length and any weapon made from a shotgun by any means of modification if such modified weapon has an overall length of less than twenty-six inches.
- (((21))) (<u>24)</u> "Shotgun" means a weapon with one or more barrels, designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed shotgun shell to fire through a smooth bore either a number of ball shot or a single projectile for each single pull of the trigger.
- (25) "Transfer" means the intended delivery of a firearm to another person without consideration of payment or promise of payment including, but not limited to, gifts and loans.
- (26) "Unlicensed person" means any person who is not a licensed dealer under this chapter.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 3.** A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

- (1) All firearm sales or transfers, in whole or part in this state including without limitation a sale or transfer where either the purchaser or seller or transferee or transferor is in Washington, shall be subject to background checks unless specifically exempted by state or federal law. The background check requirement applies to all sales or transfers including, but not limited to, sales and transfers through a licensed dealer, at gun shows, online, and between unlicensed persons.
 - (2) No person shall sell or transfer a firearm unless:
 - (a) The person is a licensed dealer;
 - (b) The purchaser or transferee is a licensed dealer; or
 - (c) The requirements of subsection (3) of this section are met.
- (3) Where neither party to a prospective firearms transaction is a licensed dealer, the parties to the transaction shall complete the sale or transfer through a licensed dealer as follows:
- (a) The seller or transferor shall deliver the firearm to a licensed dealer to process the sale or transfer as if it is selling or transferring the firearm from its inventory to the purchaser or transferee, except that the unlicensed seller or transferor may remove the firearm from the business premises of the licensed dealer while the background check is being conducted. If the seller or transferor removes the firearm from the business premises of the licensed dealer while the background check is being conducted, the purchaser or transferee and the seller or transferor shall return to the business

premises of the licensed dealer and the seller or transferor shall again deliver the firearm to the licensed dealer prior to completing the sale or transfer.

- (b) Except as provided in (a) of this subsection, the licensed dealer shall comply with all requirements of federal and state law that would apply if the licensed dealer were selling or transferring the firearm from its inventory to the purchaser or transferee, including but not limited to conducting a background check on the prospective purchaser or transferee in accordance with federal and state law requirements and fulfilling all federal and state recordkeeping requirements.
- (c) The purchaser or transferee must complete, sign, and submit all federal, state, and local forms necessary to process the required background check to the licensed dealer conducting the background check.
- (d) If the results of the background check indicate that the purchaser or transferee is ineligible to possess a firearm, then the licensed dealer shall return the firearm to the seller or transferor.
- (e) The licensed dealer may charge a fee that reflects the fair market value of the administrative costs and efforts incurred by the licensed dealer for facilitating the sale or transfer of the firearm.
 - (4) This section does not apply to:
- (a) A transfer between immediate family members, which for this subsection shall be limited to spouses, domestic partners, parents, children, siblings, grandparents, grandchildren, nieces, nephews, first cousins, aunts, and uncles, that is a bona fide gift;
 - (b) The sale or transfer of an antique firearm;
- (c) A temporary transfer of possession of a firearm if such transfer is necessary to prevent imminent death or great bodily harm to the person to whom the firearm is transferred if:
- (i) The temporary transfer only lasts as long as immediately necessary to prevent such imminent death or great bodily harm; and
- (ii) The person to whom the firearm is transferred is not prohibited from possessing firearms under state or federal law;
- (d) Any law enforcement or corrections agency and, to the extent the person is acting within the course and scope of his or her employment or official duties, any law enforcement or corrections officer, United States marshal, member of the armed forces of the United States or the national guard, or federal official;
- (e) A federally licensed gunsmith who receives a firearm solely for the purposes of service or repair, or the return of the firearm to its owner by the federally licensed gunsmith;
- (f) The temporary transfer of a firearm (i) between spouses or domestic partners; (ii) if the temporary transfer occurs, and the firearm is kept at all times, at an established shooting range authorized by the governing body of the jurisdiction in which such range is located; (iii) if the temporary transfer occurs and the transferee's possession of the firearm is exclusively at a lawful organized competition involving the use of a firearm, or while participating in or practicing for a performance by an organized group that uses firearms as a part of the performance; (iv) to a person who is under eighteen years of age for lawful hunting, sporting, or educational purposes while under the direct supervision and control of a responsible adult who is not prohibited from possessing firearms;

or (v) while hunting if the hunting is legal in all places where the person to whom the firearm is transferred possesses the firearm and the person to whom the firearm is transferred has completed all training and holds all licenses or permits required for such hunting, provided that any temporary transfer allowed by this subsection is permitted only if the person to whom the firearm is transferred is not prohibited from possessing firearms under state or federal law; or

(g) A person who (i) acquired a firearm other than a pistol by operation of law upon the death of the former owner of the firearm or (ii) acquired a pistol by operation of law upon the death of the former owner of the pistol within the preceding sixty days. At the end of the sixty-day period, the person must either have lawfully transferred the pistol or must have contacted the department of licensing to notify the department that he or she has possession of the pistol and intends to retain possession of the pistol, in compliance with all federal and state laws.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 4.** A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

Except as otherwise provided in this chapter, a licensed dealer may not deliver any firearm to a purchaser or transferee until the earlier of:

- (1) The results of all required background checks are known and the purchaser or transferee is not prohibited from owning or possessing a firearm under federal or state law; or
- (2) Ten business days have elapsed from the date the licensed dealer requested the background check. However, for sales and transfers of pistols if the purchaser or transferee does not have a valid permanent Washington driver's license or state identification card or has not been a resident of the state for the previous consecutive ninety days, then the time period in this subsection shall be extended from ten business days to sixty days.
- **Sec. 5.** RCW 9.41.090 and 1996 c 295 s 8 are each amended to read as follows:
- (1) In addition to the other requirements of this chapter, no dealer may deliver a pistol to the purchaser thereof until:
- (a) The purchaser produces a valid concealed pistol license and the dealer has recorded the purchaser's name, license number, and issuing agency, such record to be made in triplicate and processed as provided in subsection (5) of this section. For purposes of this subsection (1)(a), a "valid concealed pistol license" does not include a temporary emergency license, and does not include any license issued before July 1, 1996, unless the issuing agency conducted a records search for disqualifying crimes under RCW 9.41.070 at the time of issuance;
- (b) The dealer is notified in writing by the chief of police or the sheriff of the jurisdiction in which the purchaser resides that the purchaser is eligible to possess a pistol under RCW 9.41.040 and that the application to purchase is approved by the chief of police or sheriff; or
- (c) The requirements or time periods in section 4 of this act have been satisfied ((Five business days, meaning days on which state offices are open, have elapsed from the time of receipt of the application for the purchase thereof as provided herein by the chief of police or sheriff designated in subsection (5) of this section, and, when delivered, the pistol shall be securely wrapped and shall be unloaded. However, if

the purchaser does not have a valid permanent Washington driver's license or state identification card or has not been a resident of the state for the previous consecutive ninety days, the waiting period under this subsection (1)(c) shall be up to sixty days)).

- (2)(a) Except as provided in (b) of this subsection, in determining whether the purchaser meets the requirements of RCW 9.41.040, the chief of police or sheriff, or the designee of either, shall check with the national crime information center, the Washington state patrol electronic database, the department of social and health services electronic database, and with other agencies or resources as appropriate, to determine whether the applicant is ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a firearm.
- (b) Once the system is established, a dealer shall use the state system and national instant criminal background check system, provided for by the Brady Handgun Violence Prevention Act (18 U.S.C. Sec. 921 et seq.), to make criminal background checks of applicants to purchase firearms. However, a chief of police or sheriff, or a designee of either, shall continue to check the department of social and health services' electronic database and with other agencies or resources as appropriate, to determine whether applicants are ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a firearm.
- (3) In any case under ((subsection (1)(c) of)) this section where the applicant has an outstanding warrant for his or her arrest from any court of competent jurisdiction for a felony or misdemeanor, the dealer shall hold the delivery of the pistol until the warrant for arrest is served and satisfied by appropriate court appearance. The local jurisdiction for purposes of the sale shall confirm the existence of outstanding warrants within seventy-two hours after notification of the application to purchase a pistol is received. The local jurisdiction shall also immediately confirm the satisfaction of the warrant on request of the dealer so that the hold may be released if the warrant was for an offense other than an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol.
- (4) In any case where the chief or sheriff of the local jurisdiction has reasonable grounds based on the following circumstances: (a) Open criminal charges, (b) pending criminal proceedings, (c) pending commitment proceedings, (d) an outstanding warrant for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol, or (e) an arrest for an offense making a person ineligible under RCW 9.41.040 to possess a pistol, if the records of disposition have not yet been reported or entered sufficiently to determine eligibility to purchase a pistol, the local jurisdiction may hold the sale and delivery of the pistol ((beyond five days)) up to thirty days in order to confirm existing records in this state or elsewhere. After thirty days, the hold will be lifted unless an extension of the thirty days is approved by a local district court or municipal court for good cause shown. A dealer shall be notified of each hold placed on the sale by local law enforcement and of any application to the court for additional hold period to confirm records or confirm the identity of the applicant.
- (5) At the time of applying for the purchase of a pistol, the purchaser shall sign in triplicate and deliver to the dealer an application containing his or her full name, residential address, date and place of birth, race, and gender; the date and hour of the application; the applicant's driver's license number or state identification card number; a description

of the pistol including the make, model, caliber and manufacturer's number if available at the time of applying for the purchase of a pistol. If the manufacturer's number is not available, the application may be processed, but delivery of the pistol to the purchaser may not occur unless the manufacturer's number is recorded on the application by the dealer and transmitted to the chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county in which the purchaser resides; and a statement that the purchaser is eligible to possess a pistol under RCW 9.41.040.

The application shall contain a warning substantially as follows:

CAUTION: Although state and local laws do not differ, federal law and state law on the possession of firearms differ. If you are prohibited by federal law from possessing a firearm, you may be prosecuted in federal court. State permission to purchase a firearm is not a defense to a federal prosecution.

The purchaser shall be given a copy of the department of fish and wildlife pamphlet on the legal limits of the use of firearms, firearms safety, and the fact that local laws and ordinances on firearms are preempted by state law and must be consistent with state law.

The dealer shall, by the end of the business day, sign and attach his or her address and deliver a copy of the application and such other documentation as required under subsection (1) of this section to the chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county of which the purchaser is a resident. The triplicate shall be retained by the dealer for six years. The dealer shall deliver the pistol to the purchaser following the period of time specified in this ((section)) chapter unless the dealer is notified of an investigative hold under subsection (4) of this section in writing by the chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county, whichever is applicable, denying the purchaser's application to purchase and the grounds thereof. The application shall not be denied unless the purchaser is not eligible to possess a pistol under RCW 9.41.040 or 9.41.045, or federal law.

The chief of police of the municipality or the sheriff of the county shall retain or destroy applications to purchase a pistol in accordance with the requirements of 18 U.S.C. Sec. 922.

- (6) A person who knowingly makes a false statement regarding identity or eligibility requirements on the application to purchase a pistol is guilty of false swearing under RCW 9A.72.040.
- (7) This section does not apply to sales to licensed dealers for resale or to the sale of antique firearms.

Sec. 6. RCW 9.41.122 and 1970 ex.s. c 74 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

Residents of Washington may purchase rifles and shotguns in a state other than Washington: PROVIDED, That such residents conform to the applicable provisions of the federal Gun Control Act of 1968, Title IV, Pub. L. 90-351 as administered by the United States secretary of the treasury: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That such residents are eligible to purchase or possess such weapons in Washington and in the state in which such purchase is made: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That when any part of the transaction takes place in Washington, including, but not limited to, internet sales, such residents are subject to the procedures and background checks required by this chapter.

Sec. 7. RCW 9.41.124 and 1970 ex.s. c 74 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:

Residents of a state other than Washington may purchase rifles and shotguns in Washington: PROVIDED, That such residents conform to the applicable provisions of the federal Gun Control Act of 1968, Title IV, Pub. L. 90-351 as administered by the United States secretary of the treasury: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That such residents are eligible to purchase or possess such weapons in Washington and in the state in which such persons reside: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, That such residents are subject to the procedures and background checks required by this chapter.

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 8.** A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

The department of licensing shall have the authority to adopt rules for the implementation of this chapter as amended. In addition, the department of licensing shall report any violation of this chapter by a licensed dealer to the bureau of alcohol, tobacco, firearms and explosives within the United States department of justice and shall have the authority, after notice and a hearing, to revoke the license of any licensed dealer found to be in violation of this chapter.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 9.** A new section is added to chapter 9.41 RCW to read as follows:

Notwithstanding the penalty provisions in this chapter, any person knowingly violating section 3 of this act is guilty of a gross misdemeanor punishable under chapter 9A.20 RCW. If a person previously has been found guilty under this section, then the person is guilty of a class C felony punishable under chapter 9A.20 RCW for each subsequent knowing violation of section 3 of this act. A person is guilty of a separate offense for each and every gun sold or transferred without complying with the background check requirements of section 3 of this act. It is an affirmative defense to any prosecution brought under this section that the sale or transfer satisfied one of the exceptions in section 3(4) of this act.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 10.** A new section is added to chapter 82.08 RCW to read as follows:

The tax imposed by RCW 82.08.020 does not apply to the sale or transfer of any firearms between two unlicensed persons if the unlicensed persons have complied with all background check requirements of chapter 9.41 RCW.

Sec. 11. RCW 82.12.040 and 2011 1st sp.s. c 20 s 103 are each amended to read as follows:

(1) Every person who maintains in this state a place of business or a stock of goods, or engages in business activities within this state, shall obtain from the department a certificate of registration, and shall, at the time of making sales of tangible personal property, digital goods, digital codes, digital automated services, extended warranties, or sales of any service defined as a retail sale in RCW 82.04.050 (2) (a) or (g), (3)(a), or (6)(b), or making transfers of either possession or title, or both, of tangible personal property for use in this state, collect from the purchasers or transferees the tax imposed under this chapter. The tax to be collected under this section must be in an amount equal to the purchase price multiplied by the rate in effect for the retail sales tax under

RCW 82.08.020. For the purposes of this chapter, the phrase "maintains in this state a place of business" shall include the solicitation of sales and/or taking of orders by sales agents or traveling representatives. For the purposes of this chapter, "engages in business activity within this state" includes every activity which is sufficient under the Constitution of the United States for this state to require collection of tax under this chapter. The department must in rules specify activities which constitute engaging in business activity within this state, and must keep the rules current with future court interpretations of the Constitution of the United States.

- (2) Every person who engages in this state in the business of acting as an independent selling agent for persons who do not hold a valid certificate of registration, and who receives compensation by reason of sales of tangible personal property, digital goods, digital codes, digital automated services, extended warranties, or sales of any service defined as a retail sale in RCW 82.04.050 (2) (a) or (g), (3)(a), or (6) (b), of his or her principals for use in this state, must, at the time such sales are made, collect from the purchasers the tax imposed on the purchase price under this chapter, and for that purpose is deemed a retailer as defined in this chapter.
- (3) The tax required to be collected by this chapter is deemed to be held in trust by the retailer until paid to the department, and any retailer who appropriates or converts the tax collected to the retailer's own use or to any use other than the payment of the tax provided herein to the extent that the money required to be collected is not available for payment on the due date as prescribed is guilty of a misdemeanor. In case any seller fails to collect the tax herein imposed or having collected the tax, fails to pay the same to the department in the manner prescribed, whether such failure is the result of the seller's own acts or the result of acts or conditions beyond the seller's control, the seller is nevertheless personally liable to the state for the amount of such tax, unless the seller has taken from the buyer a copy of a direct pay permit issued under RCW 82.32.087.
- (4) Any retailer who refunds, remits, or rebates to a purchaser, or transferee, either directly or indirectly, and by whatever means, all or any part of the tax levied by this chapter is guilty of a misdemeanor.
- (5) Notwithstanding subsections (1) through (4) of this section, any person making sales is not obligated to collect the tax imposed by this chapter if:
- (a) The person's activities in this state, whether conducted directly or through another person, are limited to:
 - (i) The storage, dissemination, or display of advertising;
 - (ii) The taking of orders; or
 - (iii) The processing of payments; and
- (b) The activities are conducted electronically via a web site on a server or other computer equipment located in Washington that is not owned or operated by the person making sales into this state nor owned or operated by an affiliated person. "Affiliated persons" has the same meaning as provided in RCW 82.04.424.
- (6) Subsection (5) of this section expires when: (a) The United States congress grants individual states the authority to impose sales and use tax collection duties on remote sellers; or (b) it is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction, in a judgment not subject to review, that a state can impose sales and use tax collection duties on remote sellers.

- (7) Notwithstanding subsections (1) through (4) of this section, any person making sales is not obligated to collect the tax imposed by this chapter if the person would have been obligated to collect retail sales tax on the sale absent a specific exemption provided in chapter 82.08 RCW, and there is no corresponding use tax exemption in this chapter. Nothing in this subsection (7) may be construed as relieving purchasers from liability for reporting and remitting the tax due under this chapter directly to the department.
- (8) Notwithstanding subsections (1) through (4) of this section, any person making sales is not obligated to collect the tax imposed by this chapter if the state is prohibited under the Constitution or laws of the United States from requiring the person to collect the tax imposed by this chapter.
- (9) Notwithstanding subsections (1) through (4) of this section, any licensed dealer facilitating a firearm sale or transfer between two unlicensed persons by conducting background checks under chapter 9.41 RCW is not obligated to collect the tax imposed by this chapter.

<u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 12.** If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

--- END ---

update your address

www.myvote.wa.gov



Contact Your County Elections Department

Adams County

210 W Broadway, Ste 200 Ritzville, WA 99169 (509) 659-3249 heidih@co.adams.wa.us

Asotin County

P0 Box 129 Asotin, WA 99402 (509) 243-2084 dmckay@co.asotin.wa.us

Benton County

PO Box 470 Prosser, WA 99350 (509) 736-3085 elections@co.benton.wa.us

Chelan County

PO Box 4760 Wenatchee, WA 98807 (509) 667-6808 elections.ballots@co.chelan.wa.us

Clallam County

223 E 4th St, Ste 1 Port Angeles, WA 98362 (360) 417-2221 jmaxion@co.clallam.wa.us

Clark County

PO Box 8815 Vancouver, WA 98666-2879 (360) 397-2345 elections@clark.wa.gov

Columbia County

341 E Main St, Ste 3 Dayton, WA 99328 (509) 382-4541 sharon_richter@co.columbia.wa.us

Cowlitz County

207 4th Ave N, Rm 107 Kelso, WA 98626-4124 (360) 577-3005 elections@co.cowlitz.wa.us

Douglas County

PO Box 456 Waterville, WA 98858 (509) 745-8527 elections@co.douglas.wa.us

Ferry County

350 E Delaware Ave, Ste 2 Republic, WA 99166 (509) 775-5200 elections@co.ferry.wa.us

Franklin County

PO Box 1451 Pasco, WA 99301 (509) 545-3538 elections@co.franklin.wa.us

Garfield County

PO Box 278 Pomeroy, WA 99347-0278 (509) 843-1411 ddeal@co.garfield.wa.us

Grant County

PO Box 37 Ephrata, WA 98823 (509) 754-2011 ext 377 elections@co.grant.wa.us

Grays Harbor County

100 W Broadway, Ste 2 Montesano, WA 98563 (360) 964-1556 kfmmoore@co.grays-harbor.wa.us

Island County

PO Box 1410 Coupeville, WA 98239 (360) 679-7366 elections@co.island.wa.us

Jefferson County

PO Box 563 Port Townsend, WA 98368-0563 (360) 385-9119 elections@co.jefferson.wa.us

King County

919 SW Grady Way Renton, WA 98057 (206) 296-8683 elections@kingcounty.gov

Kitsap County

614 Division St, MS 31 Port Orchard, WA 98366 (360) 337-7128 auditor@co.kitsap.wa.us

Kittitas County

205 W 5th Ave, Ste 105 Ellensburg, WA 98926-2891 (509) 962-7503 elections@co.kittitas.wa.us

Klickitat County

205 S Columbus, Stop 2 Goldendale, WA 98620 (509) 773-4001 voting@co.klickitat.wa.us

Lewis County

PO Box 29 Chehalis, WA 98532-0029 (360) 740-1278 heather.boyer@lewiscountywa.gov

Lincoln County

PO Box 28 Davenport, WA 99122-0028 (509) 725-4971 sjohnston@co.lincoln.wa.us

Mason County

PO Box 400 Shelton, WA 98584 (360) 427-9670 ext 470 elections@co.mason.wa.us

Okanogan County

PO Box 1010 Okanogan, WA 98840-1010 (509) 422-7240 elections@co.okanogan.wa.us

Pacific County

PO Box 97 South Bend, WA 98586-0097 (360) 875-9317 pgardner@co.pacific.wa.us

Pend Oreille County

PO Box 5015 Newport, WA 99156 (509) 447-6472 elections@pendoreille.org

Pierce County

2501 S 35th St, Ste C Tacoma, WA 98409 (253) 798-VOTE (8683) pcelections@co.pierce.wa.us

San Juan County

PO Box 638 Friday Harbor, WA 98250-0638 (360) 378-3357 elections@sanjuanco.com

Skagit County

PO Box 1306 Mount Vernon, WA 98273-1306 (360) 336-9305 scelections@co.skagit.wa.us

Skamania County

PO Box 790 Stevenson, WA 98648-0790 (509) 427-3730 elections@co.skamania.wa.us

Snohomish County

3000 Rockefeller Åve, MS 505 Everett, WA 98201-4061 (425) 388-3444 elections@snoco.org

Spokane County

1033 W Gardner Ave Spokane, WA 99260 (509) 477-2320 elections@spokanecounty.org

Stevens County

215 S Oak St, Rm 106 Colville, WA 99114-2836 (509) 684-7514 elections@co.stevens.wa.us

Thurston County

2000 Lakeridge Dr SW Olympia, WA 98502-6090 (360) 786-5408 elections@co.thurston.wa.us

Wahkiakum County

PO Box 543 Cathlamet, WA 98612 (360) 795-3219 tischerd@co.wahkiakum.wa.us

Walla Walla County

PO Box 2176 Walla Walla, WA 99362 (509) 524-2530 elections@co.walla-walla.wa.us

Whatcom County

PO Box 369 Bellingham, WA 98227-0369 (360) 676-6742 elections@co.whatcom.wa.us

Whitman County

PO Box 191 Colfax, WA 99111 (509) 397-5284 elections@co.whitman.wa.us

Yakima County

PO Box 12570 Yakima, WA 98909-2570 (509) 574-1340 iVote@co.yakima.wa.us




Your county can help you...

- get a replacement ballot
- use an accessible voting unit
- register to vote
- update your address



You're invited! Celebrate 125 years of statehood this Nov. 11 in Olympia. (see page 4)



"Happy Birthday, Washington!"

by Talia Anderson, age 10 (Port Angeles)



Olympia WA 98504-0220

NONPROFIT ORG.
U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
SEATTLE, WA
PERMIT NO. 1216

ECRWSS



Edition 6

Residential Customer

98004, 98005, 98006, 98007, 98008, 98009, 98011, 98014, 98015, 98019, 98024, 98025, 98027, 98028, 98029, 98033, 98034, 98039, 98040, 98041, 98045, 98050, 98052, 98053, 98065, 98073, 98074, 98075, 98077, 98083, 98224, 98288

King County Carrier Routes Only: 98068, 98072, 98251

Para recibir un folleto **en español**, comuníquese al (800) 448-4881 o visite www.vote.wa.gov.